Electronic Structure of Epitaxial Graphene Layers on SiC: Effect of the Substrate
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A strong substrate-graphite bond is found in the first all-carbon layer by density functional theory calculations and x-ray diffraction for few graphene layers grown epitaxially on SiC. This first layer is devoid of graphene electronic properties and acts as a buffer layer. The graphene nature of the film is recovered by the second carbon layer grown on both the (0001) and (0001) 4H-SiC surfaces. We also present evidence of a charge transfer that depends on the interface geometry. Hence the graphene is doped and a gap opens at the Dirac point after three Bernal stacked carbon layers are formed.
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The possibility of carbon nanotubes (CNT) switching devices has been pursued in the last decade because of their attractive electronic properties. Nevertheless, problems with large intrinsic resistance in contacts and the inability to control tube helicity, and thus their metallic or semiconducting character, have made large scale circuit designs problematic. The proposed solution to these problems is an all-carbon nanoelectronics paradigm based on the planar 2D form of carbon, graphene [1].

Graphene consists of a single carbon plane arranged on a honeycomb lattice. From a fundamental point of view, graphene ribbons can be seen as unrolled CNTs but with different boundary conditions (finite versus cyclic). Therefore, their electronic properties should be similar. In fact this has been demonstrated in recent experiments on single and multigraphene sheets that show the existence of Dirac fermions, large electron coherence lengths, and anomalous integer quantum Hall effect [2–4]. The advantage of graphene over CNTs for electronics resides in its planar 2D structure that enables circuit design with standard lithography techniques. This enables the graphene to be cut with different shapes and selected edge direction. By simply selecting the ribbon edge direction it is possible to design metallic or semiconductor graphene ribbons [5,6] (analogous to helicity in CNTs).

Since single or multiple sheets must be supported on a surface for fabrication, the pressing question becomes: how does the interface between a graphene sheet and its support affect its electronic properties? In other words, can the symmetry of isolated graphene be maintained in the presence of an interface? This Letter focuses on this question. Specifically we have studied the system of graphite on both polar faces of hexagonal SiC.

The graphene layers are produced by sublimating Si from either the 4H- or 6H-SiC (0001) (Si-terminated) or (0001) (C-terminated) surfaces at sufficiently high temperatures to graphitize the excess carbon [1,7]. Transport measurements show the presence of Dirac electrons similar to those found on exfoliated graphene [2,3,8,9]. Besides being a more practical and scalable approach to 2D graphene electronics, this system presents a well defined interface that can be characterized in contrast to mechanically exfoliated graphene flakes [3,4] that must in any case still be supported on a surface (usually SiO2).

In this Letter, we conclusively show that the first carbon layer grown above the SiC substrate has no graphitic electronic properties and acts as a buffer layer (BL) between the substrate and subsequent graphene layers. Atoms in this plane form strong covalent bonds with the SiC substrate. The next graphene layer above the buffer layer shows a graphenelike Dirac band structure expected for an isolated graphene sheet. The calculated results are consistent with a short C first-plane–4H-SiC substrate bond as determined by surface x-ray reflectivity. Under some conditions, charge transfer from the substrate results in a n-type doping of the graphene layers (Fermi level above the Dirac point). This opens a gap in the graphene bilayer Dirac bands, in agreement with recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) results [10]. Dangling bond related states are found in all tested geometries. They can interact with the graphene derived state depending on the geometry. Their effects on the electronic and transport properties have to be considered as well as those of the intrinsic defects of the isolated graphene layer [11].

The systems theoretically studied here are made of one, two, or three carbon layers (honeycomb lattice with Bernal stacking) on top of either a 4H-SiC (0001) or (0001) (Si- and C-terminated) substrate. Graphene is nearly commensurate with these SiC surfaces with a common cell corresponding to a \(6\sqrt{3}\times 6\sqrt{3}\)R30° (with respect to SiC 1 × 1 surface cell) [7]. This cell is too large to make realistic calculations. Even the next smallest nearest commensurate structure, \(4 \times 4\) cell (not experimentally observed), is too large for reasonable calculations. Therefore, as a first approximation to the actual structure, we used the \(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}\)R30° reconstruction shown in Fig. 1. The \(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}\)R30° cell corresponds to a \(2 \times 2\) graphene cell. The graphene and SiC lattice parameter mismatch requires an 8% stretch.
C-face samples were graphitized in a vacuum rf-induction Kohn-Sham eigenvalues. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme to ensure convergence of the 6IDC Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, on the single graphene layer.

is crucial to a good description of the Fermi level for a Brillouin zone is performed on a 9 grid in the Monkhorst-Pack scheme. In the bulk truncated geometry, the lonely atom exhibits a dangling bond (DB) that points toward the graphene layers. The lonely atom is suppressed in the C-deficient geometry, thus creating 3 DB at the interface.

The electronic structure was investigated using the VASP code [12]. It is based on density functional theory (DFT) within the generalized gradient approximation [13]. The 4H-SiC substrate is described with a slab that contains 8 SiC bilayers with H saturated DB on the second surface. The empty space ranges from 15 to 25 Å. Ultrasoft pseudopotentials [14] are used with a plane wave basis cutoff equal to 211 eV. The experimental graphene layer spacing was first chosen as the starting value, and then all the atoms were allowed to relax. Since DFT is known to poorly describe Van der Waals forces, the final graphene layer spacing is significantly larger than in the bulk. However, we point out that the C-short ultrasoft pseudopotential used here has been extensively tested [15] and was shown to correctly describe the band structure of graphite in spite of the C-deficient geometry. The interface carbon layer strongly interacts with the SiC substrate for both Si- and C-terminated surfaces. Subsequent C planes on the other hand are weakly bound by van der Waals forces as expected for graphite. This conclusion also holds for the C-deficient geometry. The bulk relaxation in this latter case is very similar to those of the C-terminated bulk truncated geometry.

X-ray reflectivity data confirms this result. Figure 2 shows an experimental reflectivity from ~9 graphene layer film grown on the 4H-SiC(0001) surface. Data is on the (00l) rod in units of \(2\pi/a\), where \(a = 10.081 \text{ Å}\). Peaks at \(l = 4\) and \(8\) are SiC Bragg reflections, while peaks at \(l \sim 3\), 6, and \(9\) are graphite Bragg points. A full fit to the data including substrate relaxation and a multilayered graphite film is shown. Details of the fit are given in a separate article [19]. The model consists of a single reconstructed SiC bilayer interface between the graphite and the bulk.
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FIG. 1 (color online). Interface geometry: (a) side view; (b) top view of the \(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}R30\) cell for a Si-terminated SiC face.
The fit reveals that the first graphene layer is $1.65 \pm 0.05$ Å above the last bulk C layer consistent with the calculated value. The next graphene layer is separated from the first by $3.51 \pm 0.1$ Å (slightly larger than the bulk value 3.354 Å [20]). Subsequent layers have a mean spacing of $3.370 \pm 0.005$ Å. This slightly larger layer spacing is consistent with stacking faults in the layers [21]. The x-ray results confirm the calculated structure of a strongly bonded first graphitic layer with a well isolated graphene layer above it. We note that the extended diamond interface phase conjectured by others [7,22] does not fit the x-ray data for the C face. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2, where we force a second SiC bilayer to be Si depleted by 25%. This fit is obviously worse than a single bilayer and proves that the SiC interface is narrow and not extended.

The band structures with one [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], two [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)], and three [Figs. 3(e) and 3(f)] carbon layers on bulk terminated SiC are shown in Fig. 3. For both polarities, the electronic structure with a single C layer significantly differs from graphite [23]. It exhibits a large gap and a Fermi level pinned by a state with a small dispersion (close to the conduction band for the Si-terminated surface or in the gap for the C-terminated surface). These states are related to the DB of the lonely atom in the SiC interface layer [a Si- (C-)DB state for the Si- (C-)terminated surface]. They remain unchanged when further C layers are added on top of the first one. Figures 3(c)–3(f) show that graphene related dispersions are recovered when more than 1 C layer is present. In fact, the first C plane acts as a buffer layer that allows growth of subsequent graphene like layers. Indeed one can clearly see for 2 C planes (buffer + 1) the linear dispersion and Dirac point that are characteristic of an isolated graphene layer [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)]. When 3 C layers are present (buffer + 2) the dispersion is similar to the dispersion of a graphene bilayer [24,25].

On the Si-terminated surface, the Fermi level falls 0.4 eV above the Dirac point [Fig. 3(e)]. The graphenelike planes are $n$ doped. This is confirmed by the opening of a gap in the case of 3 C layers (buffer + 2) [Fig. 3(e)]. Tight binding calculations involving $p_z$ orbitals show that this is characteristic of a graphene bilayer where the two planes are not symmetric. In our ab initio calculation, the Fermi level falls above the highest unoccupied $\pi^*$ band minimum at the $K$ point. The comparison to tight binding calculations shows that the two graphene layers are doped and that one plane is less doped than the other one. This is in agreement with recent ARPES and x-ray photoemission spectroscopy measurements [8,10]. For C-terminated surfaces in the bulk truncated geometry, the Fermi level falls on the Dirac point and the graphene layers are neutral. On the other hand, the Fermi level of the C-deficient geometry (Fig. 4) is 0.4 eV above the Dirac point. It is fixed by states related to the 3 DB present in this structure. This stresses the role played by interface defects.

For the Si-terminated surface the DB related state and the graphene derived states anticross, indicating some interactions between them. This is not the case for the bulk C-terminated surface. This effect may have a crucial impact on transport properties of the film and explain the low electron mobilities of Si-face films compared to C-face films [1,2,18]. In Fig. 5, charge density contours show clear evidence of the existence of a covalent bond between the
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**FIG. 3 (color online).** Dispersion curves for one (a),(b), two (c),(d), and three (e),(f) carbon layers on bulk truncated SiC. Curves (a), (c), and (e) [(b), (d), and (f)] correspond to the Si-(C-)terminated face. The first C layer forms a BL layer with no graphenelike dispersion (a),(b). One C layer on top of the BL shows a linear dispersion (c),(d) characteristic of a single graphene sheet. Two C layers on top of the BL show a splitting of the Dirac bands (e),(f) as expected for a free graphene bilayer.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**FIG. 4 (color online).** Dispersion curves for 2 C layers on top of the C-deficient surface. The Diraclike dispersion at the $K$ point is preserved, but the Fermi level is shifted due to charge transfer from the substrate.
buffer layer and SiC. Charge density appears to be more delocalized in the subsequent graphitic planes as one can expect for graphenelike layers.

In conclusion, we have shown that the first C layer on top of a SiC surface acts as a buffer layer and allows the next graphene layer to behave electronically like an isolated graphene sheet. The existence of strong covalent bonds between the substrate and the first layer is in agreement with x-ray reflectivity data. Our calculations show clear evidence of a charge transfer from SiC to the graphene layers that depends on the interface geometry and results in a doping of these layers. We also show the possible opening of a gap at the Dirac point in agreement with ARPES results. Interface intrinsic defects induce states in the vicinity of the Fermi level. Their interaction with the graphene derived states depends on interface geometry and may explain the lower electronic mobility observed on Si
terminated surface. Because the defect density (i.e., DB states) is even larger for the actual 6\sqrt{3} \times 6\sqrt{3}R30 cell, further experiments and calculations are needed to clarify the role of these states and their dependence on interface geometry and stacking order in these systems.

We thank P. Darancet, D. Mayou, V. Olevano, P. First, and W. de Heer for fruitful discussions. This work is supported by a computer grant at IDRIS-CNRS; the ACI CIMENT (phynum project); additional funding from ACI; the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0404084; and Intel Research and the U.S. Department of Energy (No. DE-FG02-02ER45956). The Advanced Photon Source is supported by the DOE Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. The \mu CAT beam line is supported through Ames Lab, operated for the U.S. DOE by Iowa State University under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82.

[16] The first C-layer position is fixed by the formation of strong covalent bonds with the substrate. For subsequent C layers, when the interlayer spacing varies from 2 to 5 Å for 2 C layers on top of a Si-terminated surface, the change in total energy is lower than 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}. The E(d) (d: C interlayer spacing) curve is similar to the graphite one [17].
[23] This can also be seen in a preliminary calculation by A. Mattausch and O. Pankratov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 076802 (2007).