## Chapter 2

## Introduction to ODEs

In the following short chapter we will summarize some easy and efficient methods for solving simple first order Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs). In order to use the appropriate method to obtain the solution of a given ODE we must be able to classify the type of ODE we have at hand.

### 2.1 Classification

In general we classify differential equations as either partial or ordinary. For now we examine only ordinary differential equations. In general however most models are usually comprised of several (a system) partial or ordinary differential equations. Another reason that ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are important is that techniques of solution for partial differential equations (PDEs) can stem from those in ordinary differential equations. So learning how to solve ODEs will be useful by itself or in conjuction to solving PDEs.

To choose the appropriate method of solution for a given ODE you must find out what type of ODE you have. ODEs are categorized, among other things, as: linear/non-linear, ordinary/partial. Also we usually refer to the "order" of the ODE as well as to whether it is "homogeneous" or not. The following examples should be helpful in understanding how this classification system works:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { o } & \frac{d y}{d x}=-3 x+5 & \text { 1st order linear non-homogeneous ODE } \\
\text { o } & 3 \frac{d y}{d x}=9 y^{2} & \text { 1st order non-linear homogeneous ODE } \\
\text { o } & 3 \frac{d y}{d x}+y=0 & \text { 1st order linear homogeneous ODE } \\
\text { o } & 3 \frac{d y}{d x}+9 x^{3} y=9 & \text { 2nd order linear non-homogeneous ODE } \\
\text { o } & 7\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2}+4 y=0 & \text { 1st order non-linear homogeneous ODE }
\end{array}
$$

Try to figure out based on the examples above how naming of ODEs works. You probably understand from the above how we determine the order of an ODE - based on the order of the derivative in the equation. How about linearity? Do you see it? Linearity refers to the power of $y$. For instance anything with $y^{2}$ and higher is non-linear. Similarly if a derivative was raised to a power, such as $\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2}$, then it is also non-linear. Now determining whether an ODE is homogeneous or not is a different story. The idea, as you may have noticed, is to bring all terms which include $y$ 's on one side of the equation. If by doing so the other side of the equation is 0 then you have a homogeneous ODE. Otherwise you have a non-homogeneous ODE.

### 2.2 Solving first order homogeneous ODEs

Now that you have learned some of the basics about the names of these equations you will put them to use in order to decide which method to choose in order to obtain their solutions. If you correctly identified the ODE then you will be able to solve it!

It is not the point of our class to review all methods of solution for a given ODE. Instead we emphasize on the ones we will probably put to use during our study of mathematical models. In that respect we start by studying methods of solution for 1st order homogeneous ODEs.

### 2.2.1 Non-linear first order homogeneous ODEs

It may sound surpricing but some of the easiest first order ODEs to solve are the nonlinear (homogeneous) ones. Let us examine for example the following non-linear 1st order homogeneous differential equation,

$$
x y^{\prime}=y^{2}, \quad \text { or otherwise also written as: } \quad x \frac{d y}{d x}=y^{2}
$$

with the following initial condition $y(1)=-1$. We will present the solution of this equation based on the separation of variables method. We outline this method of solution for such an ODE below:

1. Separate variables:

$$
\frac{d y}{y^{2}}=\frac{d x}{x}
$$

2. Integrate both sides:

$$
\int \frac{d y}{y^{2}} d x=\int \frac{d x}{x} d x
$$

which gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{y}=\ln (x)+C \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not forget the constant of integration $C$ ! To evaluate $C$ we use the initial condition, $y(1)=5$. If, on the other hand, no initial condition is provided then $C$ will be part of the final solution for this equation. Note that the initial condition $y(1)=5$ implies that for $x=1$ then $y=5$. Substituting these values to equation (2.1) above we obtain,

$$
C=-\frac{1}{5}-\ln (1)=-.2
$$

Thus equation (2.1) becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{y}=\ln (x)-.2
$$

That's it! We solved this differential equation! How do we know that we solved it? Easy! Do you see any derivatives left? If not then we are done. The equation is solved! In this case we can even go further and solve it for $y$ itself (something that is not always necessary or sometimes even possible),

$$
y=-\frac{1}{\ln (x)-\frac{1}{5}}
$$

Ok, so now we can take care of the non-linear homogeneous type first order ODEs. Let's now see how we can solve the linear ones.

### 2.2.2 Linear first order ODEs

In this case we will in fact see a method which not only solves the linear first order homogeneous ODEs but the non-homogeneous ones also! Let's first look at a typical example for such an equation,

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}-2 y=5 \quad \text { with the following initial condition } \quad y(0)=0
$$

We outline the steps of the solution below:

1. Rewrite the equation in a "canonical" form $y^{\prime}+p(x) y=q(x)$. Note that luckily enough our equation is already in this form.
2. Obtain the "integrating factor" $\mu$ which is defined to be

$$
\mu=e^{\int p(x) d x}
$$

In our case the integrating factor for this ODE is,

$$
\mu=e^{\int-2 d x}=e^{-2 x}
$$

3. Multiply both sides of the ODE with the integrating factor $\mu$.

$$
\mu\left(y^{\prime}+p(x) y\right)=\mu q(x)
$$

You can then "group" the left and the right hand sides as follows

$$
(y \mu)^{\prime}=\mu q(x)
$$

In our case we multiply the ODE with $\mu$ and obtain,

$$
e^{-2 x}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}-x y\right)=e^{-2 x} 5
$$

We then can rewrite the above as,

$$
\left(e^{-2 x} y\right)^{\prime}=5 e^{-2 x}
$$

4. Integrate both sides. This has the effect that always the left hand side derivative disappears. In our example this gives,

$$
e^{-2 x} y=\int 5 e^{-2 x}+C
$$

or rather

$$
e^{-2 x} y=\frac{5}{-2} e^{-2 x}+C
$$

As previously we can find the value $C$ by substituting the initial conditions. In our example we are given that $y=0$ when $x=0$. Thus substituting on the above we obtain,

$$
e^{0} 0=-\frac{5}{2} e^{0}+C
$$

which implies that $C=5 / 2$. Therefore our equation becomes,

$$
e^{-2 x} y=-\frac{5}{2} e^{-2 x}+\frac{5}{2}
$$

Note that this is really our solution for the ODE. We can even solve it for $y$ as follows,

$$
y=\frac{5}{2}+\frac{5}{2} e^{2 x}
$$

### 2.3 Numerical solutions to ODEs

In this section we will learn how to solve a number of different types of ODEs using a computer and applying some well known and very effective numerical algorithms. We start our exposition with a simple but robust method.

### 2.3.1 Euler's method

This method is simple to learn and will essentially allow us to solve almost any type of ODE which we will come across. Naturally we implement this method in the computer but in fact for simple problems you could possibly obtain the solution with a calculator (or even by hand).

The starting point is to write your ODE in the following form,

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y)
$$

where $f(x, y)$ corresponds to any other term in your ODE. For instance looking back at the equation $x y^{\prime}=y^{2}$ which we solved earlier we would rewrite it as,

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2}}{x}
$$

In this case therefore $f(x, y)=\frac{y^{2}}{x}$. Once this is established the method iterates in the computer with the following formula,

$$
\text { Euler's method: } \quad y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

where here $h$ denotes the step size in $x$. The iteration counter $n$ starts at 0 and will go on until we produce the solution required by the problem. For instance recall that the initial condition for our ODE was provided to be $y(1)=5$. In this case for $n=0$ we have that $x_{0}=1$ and $y_{0}=5$ with which to start the iteration of our method. The only thing which may be left up to you (unless specifically given by the problem) is how big the step size $h$ should be chosen to be.

Euler's method is not exact! In fact it almost always includes errors and the solution predicted is only an approximation to the true solution. The bigger the step size $h$ the bigger the errors to our solution. So if you would like to have a very accurate solution then you must take a very small step size $h$ and therefore you must iterate several times.

Let us assume that you would like to obtain the solution $y$ when $x=10$ for the following ODE

$$
x y^{\prime}=y^{2}, \quad \text { with initial condition } \quad y(1)=-1
$$

Remember that we rewrite the ODE first. So here $f(x, y)=y^{2} / x$. Since the step size $h$ is not specified you are free to choose. So if you take $h=1$ then you would have to iterate Euler's method 9 times since you are starting at $x=1$ and need to reach $x=10$ with this $h$. The following table shows the result of the computer output and how each $y$ is produced after each iteration of the method,

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| $y$ | -1 | -.5 | -.42 | -.37 | -.34 | -.32 | -.31 | -.29 | -.28 | -.2801 |
| $f(x, y)$ | .5 | .08 | .04 | .03 | .02 | .01 | .01 | .01 | .008 | .007 |

So the solution at $x=10$ is $y=-.2801$ using a step size of $h=1$. Is this correct? In fact we can check this since we have found earlier the exact solution to be

$$
y=-\frac{1}{\ln (x)+1}
$$

Let us compare, side by side, the approximate solution produced by Euler's method above with this exact solution in the table below:

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{\text {app. }}$ | -1 | -.50 | -.41 | -.37 | -.34 | -.32 | -.3104 | -.2983 | -.2884 | -.2801 |
| $y_{\text {ex }}$ | -1 | -.59 | -.47 | -.41 | -.38 | -.35 | -.3395 | -.3247 | -.3128 | -.3028 |

This gives that $y=-.2801$ for $x=10$ while the equivalent exact solution is $y=.3028$. The absolute error between the two being .0227 and the relative error .075 . We can not help but wonder how much better we could possibly do if we decrease the step size $h=.5$. In that case of course it would take us 18 iterations starting at $x=1$ to reach $x=10$. We display some of these results in the table below:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\ldots$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | $\ldots$ | 8.5 | 9 | 9.5 | 10 |
| $y_{\text {app. }}$ | -1 | -.66 | -.55 | -.49 | -.45 | $\ldots$ | -.3091 | -.3037 | -.2989 | -.2944 |
| $y_{e x}$ | -1 | -.71 | -.59 | -.52 | -.47 | $\ldots$ | -.3185 | -.3128 | -.3076 | -.3028 |

This time the absolute error between the exact and approximate solutions for $x=10$ is .0084 while the relative error is just .000003 . Huge improvement for a little bit extra work for the computer.

### 2.3.2 Runge-Kutta Method

We now present an even more accurate method which although it is slightly more complicated to program in the computer can also solve an ODE of the type,

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y)
$$

Usually the Runge-Kutta method is more accurate than the Euler method for the same value of the step size $h$. The Runge-Kutta method goes as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right) \quad \text { where } \\
k_{1} & =h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
k_{2} & =h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\right) \\
k_{3} & =h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}\right) \\
k_{4} & =h f\left(x_{n}+h, y_{n}+k_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Just so that we can see the differences between the Euler and Runge-Kutta methods we solve the same exact ODE as before,

$$
x y^{\prime}=y^{2}, \quad \text { with initial condition } \quad y(1)=-1
$$

for a step size of just $h=1$. The results are shown in the table below:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| $y_{\text {Euler }}$ | -1 | -.5 | -.42 | -.37 | -.34 | -.32 | -.3104 | -.2983 | -.2884 | -.2801 |
| $y_{R-K}$ | -1 | -.57 | -.46 | -.41 | -.37 | -.35 | -.3342 | -.3199 | -.3083 | -.2986 |
| $y_{e x}$ | -1 | -.59 | -.47 | -.41 | -.38 | -.35 | -.3395 | -.3247 | -.3128 | -.3028 |

Based on these results you can make up your mind about which method is best and compare the differences for yourself!

### 2.4 Higher order ODEs

In fact the numerical schemes just presented are much more powerful than you might think. In this section we will see that we can use these methods not just for first order ODEs but also for higher order. We will learn a method which allows us to reduce any higher order ODE into a system of first order ODEs. The benefit is that once we obtain such a system of first order ODEs we can use an equivalent version of Euler or Runge-Kutta method in order to solve that system.

### 2.4.1 Reduction of order

Suppose for instance that we wish to solve a third order ODE such as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime}-2 y=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method goes as follows: We start by defining 3 (as many as the derivatives) new variables, $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ via,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=y, \quad u_{2}=y^{\prime}, \quad u_{3}=y^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we take derivatives on both sides of the above and obtain,

$$
u_{1}^{\prime}=y^{\prime}, \quad u_{2}^{\prime}=y^{\prime \prime}, \quad u_{3}^{\prime}=y^{\prime \prime \prime},
$$

Note that in fact we can replace $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ above by solving our 3rd order equation (2.2) for $y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-3 y^{\prime}+2 y$. Therefore in fact $u_{3}^{\prime}=-3 y^{\prime}+2 y$. But based on (2.3) we can also replace the $y$ 's with the corresponding $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. Therefore we can write $u_{3}$ as,

$$
u_{3}^{\prime}=-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=-3 u_{2}+2 u_{1}
$$

Summarizing this analysis we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{\prime} & =y^{\prime}=u_{2} \\
u_{2}^{\prime} & =y^{\prime \prime}=u_{3} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & =y^{\prime \prime \prime}=
\end{aligned}
$$

We will rewrite the above once more in a nicer format by reordering the $u$ 's and inserting a zero if no corresponding $u$ exists in that row:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{\prime} & =0 u_{1}+1 u_{2}+0 u_{3} \\
u_{2}^{\prime} & =0 u_{1}+0 u_{2}+1 u_{3} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & =2 u_{1}-3 u_{2}+0 u_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this format it is not that hard to see that we can write this system into a matrix as follows,

$$
U^{\prime}=A U \quad \text { where } \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & -3 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this format it is now clear that our original single 3rd order ODE (2.2) has been transformed to a reduced 1st order system of three ODEs. In general this method can take a single $n$th order ODE and transform it into a system of $n$ first order ODEs. Once you have such a system you can apply Euler or Runge-Kutta method to solve it. The following simple example should be illustrative of this procedure.
Example:
Solve the following ODE using Euler's method. Obtain the solution for $x=1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-y=x \quad \text { with initial conditions: } \quad y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by reducing the 2 nd order ODE into a system of 2 first order ODEs. To do this we follow the procedure outlined previously. We first define 2 new variables, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as follows,

$$
u_{1}=y \quad u_{2}=y^{\prime}
$$

Now we differentiate both sides,

$$
u_{1}^{\prime}=y^{\prime} \quad u_{2}^{\prime}=y^{\prime \prime}
$$

and eliminate $y^{\prime \prime}$ by solving (2.4). Thus, $u_{2}^{\prime}=y^{\prime \prime}=x+y$ and replace $y$ here with $u_{1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}^{\prime}=y^{\prime} & =u_{2} & =0 u_{1}+1 u_{2} \\
u_{2}^{\prime}=x+y & =x+u_{1} & =1 u_{1}+0 u_{2}+x
\end{array}
$$

To make the notation clearer we rename $u_{1}=U$ and $u_{2}=V$. Thus we have the following two equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\prime}=F(x, U, V) \quad \text { where } \quad F(x, U, V)=V \\
& V^{\prime}=G(x, U, V) \quad \text { where } \quad G(x, U, V)=x+U
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now ready to apply Euler's method for this system. Before we start though we should also translate the initial conditions to correspond to $U$ and $V$. Starting with $y(0)=0$ and since $y=u_{1}=U$ then we have that $U(0)=0$. Similarly the other initial condition $y^{\prime}(0)=1$ becomes $V(0)=1$ since $y^{\prime}=u_{2}=V$.

Euler's method for our system is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n+1} & =U_{n}+h F\left(x_{n}, U_{n}, V_{n}\right) \\
V_{n+1} & =V_{n}+h G\left(x_{n}, U_{n}, V_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following table provides the solution assuming a step size of $h=.2$,

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | 0 | .2 | .4 | .6 | .8 | 1 |
| $U$ | 0 | .2 | .4 | .616 | .864 | 1.1606 |
| $V$ | 1 | 1 | 1.08 | 1.24 | 1.48 | 1.816 |

Thus when $x=1$ we obtain the approximate solution to be $U=u_{1}=1.16$ and $V=u_{2}=1.82$. This solves our problem! Well not really. Remember that the original question was to solve for $y$ not $U, V, u_{1}$ or even for $u_{2}$. However we know that $y=u_{1}$. Thus $y \approx 1.16$ when $x=1$ !

Just to check our solution if we reduce the step size to $h=.1$ and iterate 10 times we obtain the solution to be $y \approx 1.24$ when $x=1$. If the step size becomes $h=.01$ and we iterate 100 times we obtain $y \approx 1.3388$. Last if we reduce the step size to $h=.001$ and iterate 1000 times we obtain that $y \approx 1.3492$. In other words we can safely believe that $y \approx 1.34$.

