```
        w=c(i+1)-d(i)
        h=xa(i+m)-x h will never be zero, since this was tested in the ini-
        t=(xa(i)-x)*d(i)/h tializing loop.
        dd=t-c(i+1)
        if(dd.eq.0.)pause 'failure in ratint'
            This error condition indicates that the interpolating function has a pole at the re-
            quested value of x.
        dd=w/dd
        d(i)=c(i+1)*dd
        c(i) =t*dd
    enddo }1
    if (2*ns.lt.n-m)then
        dy=c(ns+1)
    else
        dy=d(ns)
        ns=ns-1
    endif
    y=y+dy
enddo }1
return
END
```
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### 3.3 Cubic Spline Interpolation

Given a tabulated function $y_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right), i=1 \ldots N$, focus attention on one particular interval, between $x_{j}$ and $x_{j+1}$. Linear interpolation in that interval gives the interpolation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=A y_{j}+B y_{j+1} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \equiv \frac{x_{j+1}-x}{x_{j+1}-x_{j}} \quad B \equiv 1-A=\frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{j+1}-x_{j}} \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) are a special case of the general Lagrange interpolation formula (3.1.1).

Since it is (piecewise) linear, equation (3.3.1) has zero second derivative in the interior of each interval, and an undefined, or infinite, second derivative at the abscissas $x_{j}$. The goal of cubic spline interpolation is to get an interpolation formula that is smooth in the first derivative, and continuous in the second derivative, both within an interval and at its boundaries.

Suppose, contrary to fact, that in addition to the tabulated values of $y_{i}$, we also have tabulated values for the function's second derivatives, $y^{\prime \prime}$, that is, a set
of numbers $y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Then, within each interval, we can add to the right-hand side of equation (3.3.1) a cubic polynomial whose second derivative varies linearly from a value $y_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ on the left to a value $y_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}$ on the right. Doing so, we will have the desired continuous second derivative. If we also construct the cubic polynomial to have zero values at $x_{j}$ and $x_{j+1}$, then adding it in will not spoil the agreement with the tabulated functional values $y_{j}$ and $y_{j+1}$ at the endpoints $x_{j}$ and $x_{j+1}$.

A little side calculation shows that there is only one way to arrange this construction, namely replacing (3.3.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=A y_{j}+B y_{j+1}+C y_{j}^{\prime \prime}+D y_{j+1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are defined in (3.3.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv \frac{1}{6}\left(A^{3}-A\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)^{2} \quad D \equiv \frac{1}{6}\left(B^{3}-B\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the dependence on the independent variable $x$ in equations (3.3.3) and (3.3.4) is entirely through the linear $x$-dependence of $A$ and $B$, and (through $A$ and $B$ ) the cubic $x$-dependence of $C$ and $D$.

We can readily check that $y^{\prime \prime}$ is in fact the second derivative of the new interpolating polynomial. We take derivatives of equation (3.3.3) with respect to $x$, using the definitions of $A, B, C, D$ to compute $d A / d x, d B / d x, d C / d x$, and $d D / d x$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y_{j+1}-y_{j}}{x_{j+1}-x_{j}}-\frac{3 A^{2}-1}{6}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) y_{j}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3 B^{2}-1}{6}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) y_{j+1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the first derivative, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=A y_{j}^{\prime \prime}+B y_{j+1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the second derivative. Since $A=1$ at $x_{j}, A=0$ at $x_{j+1}$, while $B$ is just the other way around, (3.3.6) shows that $y^{\prime \prime}$ is just the tabulated second derivative, and also that the second derivative will be continuous across (e.g.) the boundary between the two intervals $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ and $\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)$.

The only problem now is that we supposed the $y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's to be known, when, actually, they are not. However, we have not yet required that the first derivative, computed from equation (3.3.5), be continuous across the boundary between two intervals. The key idea of a cubic spline is to require this continuity and to use it to get equations for the second derivatives $y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.

The required equations are obtained by setting equation (3.3.5) evaluated for $x=x_{j}$ in the interval $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ equal to the same equation evaluated for $x=x_{j}$ but in the interval $\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)$. With some rearrangement, this gives (for $j=2, \ldots, N-1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{j}-x_{j-1}}{6} y_{j-1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}}{3} y_{j}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j}}{6} y_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{y_{j+1}-y_{j}}{x_{j+1}-x_{j}}-\frac{y_{j}-y_{j-1}}{x_{j}-x_{j-1}} \tag{3.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are $N-2$ linear equations in the $N$ unknowns $y_{i}^{\prime \prime}, i=1, \ldots, N$. Therefore there is a two-parameter family of possible solutions.

For a unique solution, we need to specify two further conditions, typically taken as boundary conditions at $x_{1}$ and $x_{N}$. The most common ways of doing this are either

- set one or both of $y_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{N}^{\prime \prime}$ equal to zero, giving the so-called natural cubic spline, which has zero second derivative on one or both of its boundaries, or
- set either of $y_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y_{N}^{\prime \prime}$ to values calculated from equation (3.3.5) so as to make the first derivative of the interpolating function have a specified value on either or both boundaries.
One reason that cubic splines are especially practical is that the set of equations (3.3.7), along with the two additional boundary conditions, are not only linear, but also tridiagonal. Each $y_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is coupled only to its nearest neighbors at $j \pm 1$. Therefore, the equations can be solved in $O(N)$ operations by the tridiagonal algorithm (§2.4). That algorithm is concise enough to build right into the spline calculational routine. This makes the routine not completely transparent as an implementation of (3.3.7), so we encourage you to study it carefully, comparing with tridag (§2.4).

```
SUBROUTINE spline(x,y,n,yp1,ypn,y2)
INTEGER n,NMAX
REAL yp1,ypn,x(n),y(n),y2(n)
PARAMETER (NMAX=500)
    Given arrays }\textrm{x}(1:\textrm{n})\mathrm{ and }\textrm{y}(1:\textrm{n})\mathrm{ containing a tabulated function, i.e., }\mp@subsup{\textrm{y}}{i}{}=f(\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{i}{})\mathrm{ , with
    \mp@subsup{x}{1}{}<\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}<\ldots<\mp@subsup{x}{N}{}}\mathrm{ , and given values yp1 and ypn for the first derivative of the inter-
    polating function at points 1 and n, respectively, this routine returns an array y2(1:n) of
    length n which contains the second derivatives of the interpolating function at the tabulated
    points }\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{i}{}\mathrm{ . If yp1 and/or ypn are equal to 1 }\times1\mp@subsup{0}{}{30}\mathrm{ or larger, the routine is signaled to set
    the corresponding boundary condition for a natural spline, with zero second derivative on
    that boundary.
    Parameter: NMAX is the largest anticipated value of n.
INTEGER i,k
REAL p,qn,sig,un,u(NMAX)
if (yp1.gt..99e30) then The lower boundary condition is set either to be
    y2(1)=0.
        "natural"
    u(1)=0.
else or else to have a specified first derivative.
    y2(1)=-0.5
    u(1)=(3./(x(2)-x(1)))*((y(2)-y(1))/(x(2)-x(1))-yp1)
endif
do }11\textrm{i}=2,\textrm{n}-1\quad\mathrm{ This is the decomposition loop of the tridiagonal
    sig=(x(i)-x(i-1))/(x(i+1)-x(i-1)) algorithm. y2 and u are used for temporary
    p=sig*y2(i-1)+2. storage of the decomposed factors.
    y2(i)=(sig-1.)/p
    u(i)=(6.*((y(i+1)-y(i))/(x(i+1)-x(i))-(y(i)-y(i-1))
                /(x(i)-x(i-1)))/(x(i+1)-x(i-1))-sig*u(i-1))/p
enddo 11
if (ypn.gt..99e30) then The upper boundary condition is set either to be
    qn=0. "natural"
    un=0.
else or else to have a specified first derivative.
    qn=0.5
    un=(3./(x(n)-x(n-1)))*(ypn-(y(n)-y(n-1))/(x(n)-x(n-1)))
endif
y2(n)=(un-qn*u(n-1))/(qn*y2(n-1)+1.)
do 12 k=n-1,1,-1 This is the backsubstitution loop of the tridiago-
    y2(k)=y2(k)*y2(k+1)+u(k) nal algorithm.
enddo 12
return
END
```

It is important to understand that the program spline is called only once to process an entire tabulated function in arrays $\mathrm{x}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{i}$. Once this has been done,
values of the interpolated function for any value of $x$ are obtained by calls (as many as desired) to a separate routine splint (for "spline interpolation"):

```
SUBROUTINE splint(xa,ya,y2a,n,x,y)
INTEGER n
REAL x,y,xa(n),y2a(n),ya(n)
    Given the arrays xa(1:n) and ya(1:n) of length n, which tabulate a function (with the
    xa
    and given a value of x, this routine returns a cubic-spline interpolated value y.
INTEGER k,khi,klo
REAL a,b,h
klo=1 We will find the right place in the table by means of bisection.
khi=n This is optimal if sequential calls to this routine are at random
if (khi-klo.gt.1) then
    k=(khi+klo)/2
    if(xa(k).gt.x)then
        khi=k
    else
        klo=k
    endif
goto 1
endif klo and khi now bracket the input value of }\textrm{x
h=xa(khi)-xa(klo)
if (h.eq.O.) pause 'bad xa input in splint' The xa's must be distinct.
a=(xa(khi)-x)/h Cubic spline polynomial is now evaluated.
b=(x-xa(klo))/h
y=a*ya(klo)+b*ya(khi)+
((a**3-a)*y2a(klo)+(b**3-b)*y2a(khi))*(h**2)/6.
return
END
```
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### 3.4 How to Search an Ordered Table

Suppose that you have decided to use some particular interpolation scheme, such as fourth-order polynomial interpolation, to compute a function $f(x)$ from a set of tabulated $x_{i}$ 's and $f_{i}$ 's. Then you will need a fast way of finding your place in the table of $x_{i}$ 's, given some particular value $x$ at which the function evaluation is desired. This problem is not properly one of numerical analysis, but it occurs so often in practice that it would be negligent of us to ignore it.

Formally, the problem is this: Given an array of abscissas $\mathrm{xx}(\mathrm{j}), \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, with the elements either monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing, and given a number $x$, find an integer $j$ such that $x$ lies between $x x(j)$ and $x x(j+1)$.

