If the point $x=0$ is not in (or at least close to) the range of the tabulated $x_{i}$ 's, then the coefficients of the interpolating polynomial will in general become very large. However, the real "information content" of the coefficients is in small differences from the "translation-induced" large values. This is one cause of ill-conditioning, resulting in loss of significance and poorly determined coefficients. You should consider redefining the origin of the problem, to put $x=0$ in a sensible place.

Another pathology is that, if too high a degree of interpolation is attempted on a smooth function, the interpolating polynomial will attempt to use its high-degree coefficients, in combinations with large and almost precisely canceling combinations, to match the tabulated values down to the last possible epsilon of accuracy. This effect is the same as the intrinsic tendency of the interpolating polynomial values to oscillate (wildly) between its constrained points, and would be present even if the machine's floating precision were infinitely good. The above routines polcoe and polcof have slightly different sensitivities to the pathologies that can occur.

Are you still quite certain that using the coefficients is a good idea?
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### 3.6 Interpolation in Two or More Dimensions

In multidimensional interpolation, we seek an estimate of $y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from an $n$-dimensional grid of tabulated values $y$ and $n$ one-dimensional vectors giving the tabulated values of each of the independent variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$, $x_{n}$. We will not here consider the problem of interpolating on a mesh that is not Cartesian, i.e., has tabulated function values at "random" points in $n$-dimensional space rather than at the vertices of a rectangular array. For clarity, we will consider explicitly only the case of two dimensions, the cases of three or more dimensions being analogous in every way.

In two dimensions, we imagine that we are given a matrix of functional values $y a(j, k)$, where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$, and $k$ varies from 1 to $n$. We are also given an array x 1 a of length m , and an array x 2 a of length n . The relation of these input quantities to an underlying function $y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ya}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})=y(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}), \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k})) \tag{3.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to estimate, by interpolation, the function $y$ at some untabulated point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

An important concept is that of the grid square in which the point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ falls, that is, the four tabulated points that surround the desired interior point. For convenience, we will number these points from 1 to 4 , counterclockwise starting from the lower left (see Figure 3.6.1). More precisely, if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}) \leq x_{1} \leq \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}+1) \\
& \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}) \leq x_{2} \leq \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}+1) \tag{3.6.2}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.6.1. (a) Labeling of points used in the two-dimensional interpolation routines bcuint and bcucof. (b) For each of the four points in (a), the user supplies one function value, two first derivatives, and one cross-derivative, a total of 16 numbers.
defines j and k , then

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1} \equiv y \mathrm{ya}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \\
& y_{2} \equiv \mathrm{ya}(\mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{k}) \\
& y_{3} \equiv \mathrm{ya}(\mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{k}+1) \\
& y_{4} \equiv \mathrm{ya}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}+1)
\end{align*}
$$

The simplest interpolation in two dimensions is bilinear interpolation on the grid square. Its formulas are:

$$
\begin{align*}
t & \equiv\left(x_{1}-\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j})\right) /(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}+1)-\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j})) \\
u & \equiv\left(x_{2}-\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k})\right) /(\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}+1)-\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k})) \tag{3.6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(so that $t$ and $u$ each lie between 0 and 1 ), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(1-t)(1-u) y_{1}+t(1-u) y_{2}+t u y_{3}+(1-t) u y_{4} \tag{3.6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bilinear interpolation is frequently "close enough for government work." As the interpolating point wanders from grid square to grid square, the interpolated function value changes continuously. However, the gradient of the interpolated function changes discontinuously at the boundaries of each grid square.

There are two distinctly different directions that one can take in going beyond bilinear interpolation to higher-order methods: One can use higher order to obtain increased accuracy for the interpolated function (for sufficiently smooth functions!), without necessarily trying to fix up the continuity of the gradient and higher derivatives. Or, one can make use of higher order to enforce smoothness of some of these derivatives as the interpolating point crosses grid-square boundaries. We will now consider each of these two directions in turn.

## Higher Order for Accuracy

The basic idea is to break up the problem into a succession of one-dimensional interpolations. If we want to do $\mathrm{m}-1$ order interpolation in the $x_{1}$ direction, and $\mathrm{n}-1$ order in the $x_{2}$ direction, we first locate an $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ sub-block of the tabulated function matrix that contains our desired point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. We then do m one-dimensional interpolations in the $x_{2}$ direction, i.e., on the rows of the sub-block, to get function values at the points $\left(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}), x_{2}\right), \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$. Finally, we do a last interpolation in the $x_{1}$ direction to get the answer. If we use the polynomial interpolation routine polint of $\S 3.1$, and a sub-block which is presumed to be already located (and copied into an $m$ by $n$ array ya), the procedure looks like this:

```
SUBROUTINE polin2(x1a,x2a,ya,m,n,x1,x2,y,dy)
INTEGER m,n,NMAX,MMAX
REAL dy, x1, x2,y,x1a(m), x2a(n),ya(m,n)
PARAMETER (NMAX=20,MMAX=20)
Maximum expected values of n and m.
C USES polint
    Given arrays x1a(1:m) and x2a(1:n) of independent variables, and an m by n array of
    function values ya(1:m,1:n), tabulated at the grid points defined by x1a and x2a; and
    given values x1 and x2 of the independent variables; this routine returns an interpolated
    function value y, and an accuracy indication dy (based only on the interpolation in the x1
    direction, however).
INTEGER j,k
REAL ymtmp(MMAX), yntmp(NMAX)
do }12\textrm{j}=1,\textrm{m}\mathrm{ Loop over rows.
    do 11 k=1,n
    enddo }1
    call polint(x2a,yntmp,n,x2,ymtmp(j),dy) Interpolate answer into temporary stor-
enddo }1
    age.
call polint(x1a,ymtmp,m,x1,y,dy)
    Do the final interpolation.
return
END
```


## Higher Order for Smoothness: Bicubic Interpolation

We will give two methods that are in common use, and which are themselves not unrelated. The first is usually called bicubic interpolation.

Bicubic interpolation requires the user to specify at each grid point not just the function $y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, but also the gradients $\partial y / \partial x_{1} \equiv y_{, 1}, \partial y / \partial x_{2} \equiv y_{, 2}$ and the cross derivative $\partial^{2} y / \partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \equiv y_{12}$. Then an interpolating function that is cubic in the scaled coordinates $t$ and $u$ (equation 3.6.4) can be found, with the following properties: (i) The values of the function and the specified derivatives are reproduced exactly on the grid points, and (ii) the values of the function and the specified derivatives change continuously as the interpolating point crosses from one grid square to another.

It is important to understand that nothing in the equations of bicubic interpolation requires you to specify the extra derivatives correctly! The smoothness properties are tautologically "forced," and have nothing to do with the "accuracy" of the specified derivatives. It is a separate problem for you to decide how to obtain the values that are specified. The better you do, the more accurate the interpolation will be. But it will be smooth no matter what you do.

Best of all is to know the derivatives analytically, or to be able to compute them accurately by numerical means, at the grid points. Next best is to determine them by numerical differencing from the functional values already tabulated on the grid. The relevant code would be something like this (using centered differencing):

```
y1a(j,k)=(ya(j+1,k)-ya(j-1,k))/(x1a(j+1)-x1a(j-1))
y2a(j,k)=(ya(j,k+1)-ya(j,k-1))/(x2a(k+1)-x2a(k-1))
y12a(j,k)=(ya(j+1,k+1)-ya(j+1,k-1)-ya(j-1,k+1)+ya(j-1,k-1))
    /((x1a(j+1)-x1a(j-1))*(x2a(k+1)-x2a(k-1)))
```

To do a bicubic interpolation within a grid square, given the function $y$ and the derivatives $\mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{y} 2, \mathrm{y} 12$ at each of the four corners of the square, there are two steps: First obtain the sixteen quantities $c_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, 4$ using the routine bcucof below. (The formulas that obtain the $c$ 's from the function and derivative values are just a complicated linear transformation, with coefficients which, having been determined once in the mists of numerical history, can be tabulated and forgotten.) Next, substitute the $c$ 's into any or all of the following bicubic formulas for function and derivatives, as desired:

$$
\begin{align*}
y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{i j} t^{i-1} u^{j-1} \\
y_{, 1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4}(i-1) c_{i j} t^{i-2} u^{j-1}\left(d t / d x_{1}\right) \\
y_{, 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4}(j-1) c_{i j} t^{i-1} u^{j-2}\left(d u / d x_{2}\right)  \tag{3.6.6}\\
y_{, 12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4}(i-1)(j-1) c_{i j} t^{i-2} u^{j-2}\left(d t / d x_{1}\right)\left(d u / d x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $t$ and $u$ are again given by equation (3.6.4).

```
    SUBROUTINE bcucof(y,y1,y2,y12,d1,d2,c)
    REAL d1, d2, c(4,4),y(4),y1(4),y12(4),y2(4)
    Given arrays y,y1,y2, and y12, each of length 4, containing the function, gradients, and
    cross derivative at the four grid points of a rectangular grid cell (numbered counterclockwise
    from the lower left), and given d1 and d2, the length of the grid cell in the 1- and 2-
    directions, this routine returns the table c(1:4,1:4) that is used by routine bcuint for
    bicubic interpolation.
    INTEGER i,j,k,l
    REAL d1d2, xx,cl(16),wt (16,16),x(16)
    SAVE wt
    DATA wt/1,0,-3,2,4*0,-3,0,9,-6,2,0,-6,4,8*0,3,0,-9,6,-2,0,6,-4
* , 10*0,9,-6,2*0,-6,4,2*0,3,-2,6*0,-9,6,2*0,6,-4
* , 4*0,1,0,-3,2,-2,0,6,-4,1,0,-3,2,8*0,-1,0,3,-2,1,0,-3,2
* , 10*0,-3,2,2*0,3,-2,6*0,3,-2,2*0,-6,4,2*0,3,-2
* ,0,1,-2,1,5*0,-3,6,-3,0,2,-4,2,9*0,3,-6,3,0,-2,4,-2
* , 10*0, -3,3,2*0,2,-2,2*0,-1,1,6*0,3,-3,2*0,-2,2
* ,5*0,1,-2,1,0,-2,4,-2,0,1,-2,1,9*0,-1,2,-1,0,1,-2,1
* , 10*0,1,-1,2*0,-1,1,6*0,-1,1,2*0,2,-2,2*0,-1,1/
d1d2=d1*d2
do 11 i=1,4
Pack a temporary vector x.
    x(i)=y(i)
```

```
    x(i+4)=y1(i)*d1
    x(i+8)=y2(i)*d2
    x(i+12)=y12(i)*d1d2
enddo }
do 13 i=1,16
    Matrix multiply by the stored table.
    xx=0.
    do 12 k=1,16
        xx=xx+wt (i,k)*x(k)
    enddo }1
    cl(i)=xx
enddo }1
l=0
do 15 i=1,4 Unpack the result into the output table.
    do 14 j=1,4
        l=l+1
        c(i,j)=cl(l)
    enddo }1
enddo }1
return
END
```

The implementation of equation (3.6.6), which performs a bicubic interpolation, returns the interpolated function value and the two gradient values, and uses the above routine bcucof, is simply:

```
SUBROUTINE bcuint(y,y1,y2,y12,x1l,x1u,x2l,x2u,x1,x2,ansy,
    ansy1, ansy2)
REAL ansy,ansy1,ansy2,x1,x1l,x1u,x2,x2l,x2u,y(4),y1(4),
            y12(4),y2(4)
USES bcucof
    Bicubic interpolation within a grid square. Input quantities are y, y1, y2, y12 (as described
    in bcucof); x1l and x1u, the lower and upper coordinates of the grid square in the 1-
    direction; x2l and x2u likewise for the 2-direction; and x1,x2, the coordinates of the
    desired point for the interpolation. The interpolated function value is returned as ansy,
    and the interpolated gradient values as ansy1 and ansy2. This routine calls bcucof.
INTEGER i
REAL t,u,c(4,4)
call bcucof(y,y1,y2,y12,x1u-x1l,x2u-x2l,c) Get the c's.
if(x1u.eq.x1l.or.x2u.eq.x2l)pause 'bad input in bcuint'
t=(x1-x1l)/(x1u-x1l) Equation (3.6.4).
u=(x2-x2l)/(x2u-x2l)
ansy=0.
ansy2=0.
ansy1=0.
do 11 i=4,1, -1
    Equation (3.6.6).
    ansy=t*ansy+((c(i,4)*u+c(i,3))*u+c(i,2))*u+c(i,1)
    ansy2=t*ansy2+(3.*c(i,4)*u+2.*c(i,3))*u+c(i,2)
    ansy1=u*ansy1+(3.*c(4,i)*t+2.*c(3,i))*t+c (2,i)
enddo }1
ansy1=ansy1/(x1u-x1l)
ansy2=ansy2/(x2u-x2l)
return
END
```

of bicubic interpolation: The interpolating function is of the same functional form as equation (3.6.6); the values of the derivatives at the grid points are, however, determined "globally" by one-dimensional splines. However, bicubic splines are usually implemented in a form that looks rather different from the above bicubic interpolation routines, instead looking much closer in form to the routine polin2 above: To interpolate one functional value, one performs m onedimensional splines across the rows of the table, followed by one additional one-dimensional spline down the newly created column. It is a matter of taste (and trade-off between time and memory) as to how much of this process one wants to precompute and store. Instead of precomputing and storing all the derivative information (as in bicubic interpolation), spline users typically precompute and store only one auxiliary table, of second derivatives in one direction only. Then one need only do spline evaluations (not constructions) for the m row splines; one must still do a construction and an evaluation for the final column spline. (Recall that a spline construction is a process of order $N$, while a spline evaluation is only of order $\log N$ - and that is just to find the place in the table!)

Here is a routine to precompute the auxiliary second-derivative table:

```
SUBROUTINE splie2(x1a,x2a,ya,m,n,y2a)
INTEGER m,n,NN
REAL x1a(m),x2a(n),y2a(m,n),ya(m,n)
PARAMETER (NN=100)
Maximum expected value of n and m.
C USES spline
    Given an m by n tabulated function ya (1:m,1:n), and tabulated independent variables
    x2a(1:n), this routine constructs one-dimensional natural cubic splines of the rows of ya
    and returns the second-derivatives in the array y }2\textrm{a}(1:m,1:n). (The array x1a is included
    in the argument list merely for consistency with routine splin2.)
INTEGER j,k
REAL y2tmp(NN),ytmp(NN)
do }13\textrm{j}=1,\textrm{m
    do 11 k=1,n
            ytmp(k)=ya(j,k)
    enddo }1
    call spline(x2a,ytmp,n,1.e30,1.e30,y2tmp) Values 1 }\times1\mp@subsup{0}{}{30}\mathrm{ signal a natural spline.
    do 12 k=1,n
        y2a(j,k)=y2tmp(k)
    enddo }1
enddo 13
return
END
```

After the above routine has been executed once, any number of bicubic spline interpolations can be performed by successive calls of the following routine:

```
SUBROUTINE splin2(x1a,x2a,ya,y2a,m,n, x1, x2,y)
INTEGER m,n,NN
REAL x1, x2,y,x1a(m), x2a(n),y2a(m,n),ya(m,n)
PARAMETER (NN=100) Maximum expected value of n and m.
C USES spline,splint
    Given x1a, x2a, ya, m, n as described in splie2 and y2a as produced by that routine;
    and given a desired interpolating point x1,x2; this routine returns an interpolated function
    value y by bicubic spline interpolation.
INTEGER j,k
REAL y2tmp(NN),ytmp(NN),yytmp(NN)
```

```
do 12 j=1,m
    do 11 k=1,n
        ytmp(k)=ya(j,k)
        y2tmp(k)=y2a(j,k)
    enddo }1
    call splint(x2a,ytmp,y2tmp,n,x2,yytmp(j))
enddo }1
call spline(x1a,yytmp,m,1.e30,1.e30,y2tmp) Construct the one-dimensional column spline
call splint(x1a,yytmp,y2tmp,m,x1,y) and evaluate it.
return
END
```
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