converges (with parameters as shown above) on the very first extrapolation, after just 5 calls to trapzd, while qsimp requires 8 calls (8 times as many evaluations of the integrand) and qtrap requires 13 calls (making 256 times as many evaluations of the integrand).

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 1980, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (New York: Springer-Verlag), §§3.4–3.5.

Dahlquist, G., and Bjorck, A. 1974, *Numerical Methods* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), $\S\S7.4.1-7.4.2.$

Ralston, A., and Rabinowitz, P. 1978, *A First Course in Numerical Analysis*, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill), §4.10–2.

4.4 Improper Integrals

For our present purposes, an integral will be "improper" if it has any of the following problems:

- its integrand goes to a finite limiting value at finite upper and lower limits, but cannot be evaluated *right on* one of those limits (e.g., $\sin x/x$ at x=0)
- ullet its upper limit is ∞ , or its lower limit is $-\infty$
- ullet it has an integrable singularity at either limit (e.g., $x^{-1/2}$ at x=0)
- it has an integrable singularity at a known place between its upper and lower limits
- it has an integrable singularity at an unknown place between its upper and lower limits

If an integral is infinite (e.g., $\int_1^\infty x^{-1} dx$), or does not exist in a limiting sense (e.g., $\int_{-\infty}^\infty \cos x dx$), we do not call it improper; we call it impossible. No amount of clever algorithmics will return a meaningful answer to an ill-posed problem.

In this section we will generalize the techniques of the preceding two sections to cover the first four problems on the above list. A more advanced discussion of quadrature with integrable singularities occurs in Chapter 18, notably §18.3. The fifth problem, singularity at unknown location, can really only be handled by the use of a variable stepsize differential equation integration routine, as will be given in Chapter 16.

We need a workhorse like the extended trapezoidal rule (equation 4.1.11), but one which is an *open* formula in the sense of $\S4.1$, i.e., does not require the integrand to be evaluated at the endpoints. Equation (4.1.19), the extended midpoint rule, is the best choice. The reason is that (4.1.19) shares with (4.1.11) the "deep" property of having an error series that is entirely even in h. Indeed there is a formula, not as well known as it ought to be, called the *Second Euler-Maclaurin summation formula*,

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_N} f(x)dx = h[f_{3/2} + f_{5/2} + f_{7/2} + \dots + f_{N-3/2} + f_{N-1/2}]$$

$$+ \frac{B_2 h^2}{4} (f'_N - f'_1) + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{B_{2k} h^{2k}}{(2k)!} (1 - 2^{-2k+1}) (f_N^{(2k-1)} - f_1^{(2k-1)}) + \dots$$

$$(4.4.1)$$

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America).

This equation can be derived by writing out (4.2.1) with stepsize h, then writing it out again with stepsize h/2, then subtracting the first from twice the second.

It is not possible to double the number of steps in the extended midpoint rule and still have the benefit of previous function evaluations (try it!). However, it is possible to *triple* the number of steps and do so. Shall we do this, or double and accept the loss? On the average, tripling does a factor $\sqrt{3}$ of unnecessary work, since the "right" number of steps for a desired accuracy criterion may in fact fall anywhere in the logarithmic interval implied by tripling. For doubling, the factor is only $\sqrt{2}$, but we lose an extra factor of 2 in being unable to use all the previous evaluations. Since $1.732 < 2 \times 1.414$, it is better to triple.

Here is the resulting routine, which is directly comparable to trapzd.

```
SUBROUTINE midpnt(func,a,b,s,n)
INTEGER n
REAL a,b,s,func
EXTERNAL func
    This routine computes the nth stage of refinement of an extended midpoint rule. func is
   input as the name of the function to be integrated between limits a and b, also input. When
   called with n=1, the routine returns as s the crudest estimate of \int_a^b f(x)dx. Subsequent
   calls with n=2,3,... (in that sequential order) will improve the accuracy of s by adding
   (2/3) \times 3^{n-1} additional interior points. s should not be modified between sequential calls.
INTEGER it, j
REAL ddel,del,sum,tnm,x
if (n.eq.1) then
    s=(b-a)*func(0.5*(a+b))
    it=3**(n-2)
    tnm=it
    del=(b-a)/(3.*tnm)
    ddel=del+del
                               The added points alternate in spacing between del and ddel.
    x=a+0.5*del
    s11m=0.
    do 11 j=1,it
        sum=sum+func(x)
        x=x+ddel
        sum=sum+func(x)
        x=x+del
    enddo 11
    s=(s+(b-a)*sum/tnm)/3.
                               The new sum is combined with the old integral to give a
endif
                                    refined integral.
return
END
```

The routine midpnt can exactly replace trapzd in a driver routine like qtrap (§4.2); one simply changes call trapzd to call midpnt, and perhaps also decreases the parameter JMAX since $3^{\text{JMAX}-1}$ (from step tripling) is a much larger number than $2^{\text{JMAX}-1}$ (step doubling).

The open formula implementation analogous to Simpson's rule (qsimp in $\S4.2$) substitutes midpnt for trapzd and decreases JMAX as above, but now also changes the extrapolation step to be

```
rap
ulso
ger
4.2)
ges
```

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America).

since, when the number of steps is tripled, the error decreases to 1/9th its size, not 1/4th as with step doubling.

Either the modified qtrap or the modified qsimp will fix the first problem on the list at the beginning of this section. Yet more sophisticated is to generalize Romberg integration in like manner:

```
SUBROUTINE qromo(func,a,b,ss,choose)
INTEGER JMAX, JMAXP, K, KM
REAL a.b.func.ss.EPS
EXTERNAL func, choose
PARAMETER (EPS=1.e-6, JMAX=14, JMAXP=JMAX+1, K=5, KM=K-1)
USES polint
   Romberg integration on an open interval. Returns as ss the integral of the function func
   from a to b, using any specified integrating subroutine choose and Romberg's method.
   Normally choose will be an open formula, not evaluating the function at the endpoints. It
   is assumed that choose triples the number of steps on each call, and that its error series
   contains only even powers of the number of steps. The routines midpnt, midinf, midsql,
   midsqu, are possible choices for choose. The parameters have the same meaning as in
   aromb.
INTEGER j
REAL dss,h(JMAXP),s(JMAXP)
h(1)=1.
do 11 j=1, JMAX
    call choose(func,a,b,s(j),j)
    if (j.ge.K) then
        call polint(h(j-KM),s(j-KM),K,0.,ss,dss)
        if (abs(dss).le.EPS*abs(ss)) return
    s(j+1)=s(j)
    h(j+1)=h(j)/9.
                               This is where the assumption of step tripling and an even
enddo 11
                                   error series is used.
pause 'too many steps in gromo
```

The differences between qromo and qromb (§4.3) are so slight that it is perhaps gratuitous to list qromo in full. It, however, is an excellent driver routine for solving all the other problems of improper integrals in our first list (except the intractable fifth), as we shall now see.

END

The basic trick for improper integrals is to make a change of variables to eliminate the singularity, or to map an infinite range of integration to a finite one. For example, the identity

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{1/b}^{1/a} \frac{1}{t^{2}} f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt \qquad ab > 0$$
 (4.4.2)

can be used with either $b \to \infty$ and a positive, or with $a \to -\infty$ and b negative, and works for any function which decreases towards infinity faster than $1/x^2$.

You can make the change of variable implied by (4.4.2) either analytically and then use (e.g.) gromo and midpnt to do the numerical evaluation, or you can let the numerical algorithm make the change of variable for you. We prefer the latter method as being more transparent to the user. To implement equation (4.4.2) we simply write a modified version of midpnt, called midinf, which allows b to be infinite (or, more precisely, a very large number on your particular machine, such as 1×10^{30}), or a to be negative and infinite.

/isit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America) by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-his one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs

```
SUBROUTINE midinf(funk,aa,bb,s,n)
INTEGER n
REAL aa, bb, s, funk
EXTERNAL funk
   This routine is an exact replacement for midpnt, i.e., returns as s the nth stage of refinement
   of the integral of funk from aa to bb, except that the function is evaluated at evenly spaced
   points in 1/x rather than in x. This allows the upper limit bb to be as large and positive
   as the computer allows, or the lower limit aa to be as large and negative, but not both.
   aa and bb must have the same sign.
INTEGER it,j
REAL a, b, ddel, del, sum, tnm, func, x
func(x)=funk(1./x)/x**2
                                This statement function effects the change of variable.
b=1./aa
                                These two statements change the limits of integration ac-
a=1./bb
if (n.eq.1) then
                                From this point on, the routine is exactly identical to midpnt.
    s=(b-a)*func(0.5*(a+b))
else
    it=3**(n-2)
    tnm=it
    del=(b-a)/(3.*tnm)
    ddel=del+del
    x=a+0.5*del
    sum=0.
    do n j=1,it
        sum = sum + func(x)
        x=x+ddel
        sum=sum+func(x)
        x=x+del
    s=(s+(b-a)*sum/tnm)/3.
endif
return
END
```

If you need to integrate from a negative lower limit to positive infinity, you do this by breaking the integral into two pieces at some positive value, for example,

```
call qromo(funk,-5.,2.,s1,midpnt)
call qromo(funk,2.,1.e30,s2,midinf)
answer=s1+s2
```

Where should you choose the breakpoint? At a sufficiently large positive value so that the function funk is at least beginning to approach its asymptotic decrease to zero value at infinity. The polynomial extrapolation implicit in the second call to qromo deals with a polynomial in 1/x, not in x.

To deal with an integral that has an integrable power-law singularity at its lower limit, one also makes a change of variable. If the integrand diverges as $(x-a)^{\gamma}$, $0 \le \gamma < 1$, near x = a, use the identity

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{0}^{(b-a)^{1-\gamma}} t^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} f(t^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} + a)dt \qquad (b > a)$$
 (4.4.3)

If the singularity is at the upper limit, use the identity

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{0}^{(b-a)^{1-\gamma}} t^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} f(b-t^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}})dt \qquad (b>a)$$
 (4.4.4)

Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America) by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-his one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs IN FORTRAN If there is a singularity at both limits, divide the integral at an interior breakpoint as in the example above.

Equations (4.4.3) and (4.4.4) are particularly simple in the case of inverse square-root singularities, a case that occurs frequently in practice:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{\sqrt{b-a}} 2tf(a+t^{2})dt \qquad (b>a)$$
 (4.4.5)

for a singularity at a, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{\sqrt{b-a}} 2tf(b-t^{2})dt \qquad (b>a)$$
 (4.4.6)

for a singularity at b. Once again, we can implement these changes of variable transparently to the user by defining substitute routines for midpnt which make the change of variable automatically:

```
SUBROUTINE midsql(funk,aa,bb,s,n)
INTEGER n
REAL aa,bb,s,funk
EXTERNAL funk
This routine is an exact replacement for midpnt, except that it allows for an inverse square-
root singularity in the integrand at the lower limit aa.
INTEGER it,j
REAL ddel,del,sum,tnm,x,func,a,b
func(x)=2.*x*funk(aa+x**2)
b=sqrt(bb-aa)
a=0.
if (n.eq.1) then
The rest of the routine is exactly like midpnt and is omitted.
```

Similarly,

```
SUBROUTINE midsqu(funk,aa,bb,s,n)
INTEGER n
REAL aa,bb,s,funk
EXTERNAL funk
    This routine is an exact replacement for midpnt, except that it allows for an inverse square-
root singularity in the integrand at the upper limit bb.
INTEGER it,j
REAL ddel,del,sum,tnm,x,func,a,b
func(x)=2.*x*funk(bb-x**2)
b=sqrt(bb-aa)
a=0.
if (n.eq.1) then
    The rest of the routine is exactly like midpnt and is omitted.
```

One last example should suffice to show how these formulas are derived in general. Suppose the upper limit of integration is infinite, and the integrand falls off exponentially. Then we want a change of variable that maps $e^{-x}dx$ into $(\pm)dt$ (with the sign chosen to keep the upper limit of the new variable larger than the lower limit). Doing the integration gives by inspection

$$t = e^{-x} \qquad \text{or} \qquad x = -\log t \tag{4.4.7}$$

Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America). IN FORTRAN so that

$$\int_{x=a}^{x=\infty} f(x)dx = \int_{t=0}^{t=e^{-a}} f(-\log t) \frac{dt}{t}$$
 (4.4.8)

The user-transparent implementation would be

```
SUBROUTINE midexp(funk,aa,bb,s,n)
INTEGER n
REAL aa,bb,s,funk
EXTERNAL funk
This routine is an exact replacement for midpnt, except that bb is assumed to be infinite (value passed not actually used). It is assumed that the function funk decreases exponentially rapidly at infinity.
INTEGER it,j
REAL ddel,del,sum,tnm,x,func,a,b
func(x)=funk(-log(x))/x
b=exp(-aa)
a=0.
if (n.eq.1) then
The rest of the routine is exactly like midpnt and is omitted.
```

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Acton, F.S. 1970, Numerical Methods That Work; 1990, corrected edition (Washington: Mathematical Association of America), Chapter 4.

Dahlquist, G., and Bjorck, A. 1974, *Numerical Methods* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), §7.4.3, p. 294.

Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 1980, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (New York: Springer-Verlag), §3.7, p. 152.

4.5 Gaussian Quadratures and Orthogonal Polynomials

In the formulas of §4.1, the integral of a function was approximated by the sum of its functional values at a set of equally spaced points, multiplied by certain aptly chosen weighting coefficients. We saw that as we allowed ourselves more freedom in choosing the coefficients, we could achieve integration formulas of higher and higher order. The idea of *Gaussian quadratures* is to give ourselves the freedom to choose not only the weighting coefficients, but also the location of the abscissas at which the function is to be evaluated: They will no longer be equally spaced. Thus, we will have *twice* the number of degrees of freedom at our disposal; it will turn out that we can achieve Gaussian quadrature formulas whose order is, essentially, twice that of the Newton-Cotes formula with the same number of function evaluations.

Does this sound too good to be true? Well, in a sense it is. The catch is a familiar one, which cannot be overemphasized: High order is not the same as high accuracy. High order translates to high accuracy only when the integrand is very smooth, in the sense of being "well-approximated by a polynomial."

visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America) by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-his one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs