## Chi-Square Probability Function

$P\left(\chi^{2} \mid \nu\right)$ is defined as the probability that the observed chi-square for a correct model should be less than a value $\chi^{2}$. (We will discuss the use of this function in Chapter 15.) Its complement $Q\left(\chi^{2} \mid \nu\right)$ is the probability that the observed chi-square will exceed the value $\chi^{2}$ by chance even for a correct model. In both cases $\nu$ is an integer, the number of degrees of freedom. The functions have the limiting values

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(0 \mid \nu)=0 & P(\infty \mid \nu)=1 \\
Q(0 \mid \nu)=1 & Q(\infty \mid \nu)=0 \tag{6.2.17}
\end{array}
$$

and the following relation to the incomplete gamma functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\chi^{2} \mid \nu\right)=P\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\chi^{2}}{2}\right)=\operatorname{gammp}\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\chi^{2}}{2}\right)  \tag{6.2.18}\\
& Q\left(\chi^{2} \mid \nu\right)=Q\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\chi^{2}}{2}\right)=\operatorname{gammq}\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\chi^{2}}{2}\right) \tag{6.2.19}
\end{align*}
$$
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### 6.3 Exponential Integrals

The standard definition of the exponential integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{t^{n}} d t, \quad x>0, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{6.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function defined by the principal value of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ei}(x)=-\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^{t}}{t} d t, \quad x>0 \tag{6.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also called an exponential integral. Note that $\operatorname{Ei}(-x)$ is related to $-E_{1}(x)$ by analytic continuation.

The function $E_{n}(x)$ is a special case of the incomplete gamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=x^{n-1} \Gamma(1-n, x) \tag{6.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can therefore use a similar strategy for evaluating it. The continued fraction just equation (6.2.6) rewritten - converges for all $x>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=e^{-x}\left(\frac{1}{x+} \frac{n}{1+} \frac{1}{x+} \frac{n+1}{1+} \frac{2}{x+} \cdots\right) \tag{6.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use it in its more rapidly converging even form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=e^{-x}\left(\frac{1}{x+n-} \frac{1 \cdot n}{x+n+2-} \frac{2(n+1)}{x+n+4-} \cdots\right) \tag{6.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continued fraction only really converges fast enough to be useful for $x \gtrsim 1$. For $0<x \lesssim 1$, we can use the series representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=\frac{(-x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}[-\ln x+\psi(n)]-\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq n-1}}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^{m}}{(m-n+1) m!} \tag{6.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\psi(n)$ here is the digamma function, given for integer arguments by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(1)=-\gamma, \quad \psi(n)=-\gamma+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m} \tag{6.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=0.5772156649 \ldots$ is Euler's constant. We evaluate the expression (6.3.6) in order of ascending powers of $x$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n}(x)= & -\left[\frac{1}{(1-n)}-\frac{x}{(2-n) \cdot 1}+\frac{x^{2}}{(3-n)(1 \cdot 2)}-\cdots+\frac{(-x)^{n-2}}{(-1)(n-2)!}\right] \\
& +\frac{(-x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}[-\ln x+\psi(n)]-\left[\frac{(-x)^{n}}{1 \cdot n!}+\frac{(-x)^{n+1}}{2 \cdot(n+1)!}+\cdots\right] \tag{6.3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The first square bracket is omitted when $n=1$. This method of evaluation has the advantage that for large $n$ the series converges before reaching the term containing $\psi(n)$. Accordingly, one needs an algorithm for evaluating $\psi(n)$ only for small $n$, $n \lesssim 20-40$. We use equation (6.3.7), although a table look-up would improve efficiency slightly.

Amos [1] presents a careful discussion of the truncation error in evaluating equation (6.3.8), and gives a fairly elaborate termination criterion. We have found that simply stopping when the last term added is smaller than the required tolerance works about as well.

Two special cases have to be handled separately:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}(x)=\frac{e^{-x}}{x}  \tag{6.3.9}\\
& E_{n}(0)=\frac{1}{n-1}, \quad n>1
\end{align*}
$$

The routine expint allows fast evaluation of $E_{n}(x)$ to any accuracy EPS within the reach of your machine's word length for floating-point numbers. The only modification required for increased accuracy is to supply Euler's constant with enough significant digits. Wrench [2] can provide you with the first 328 digits if necessary!

FUNCTION expint ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}$ )
INTEGER $n$, MAXIT
REAL expint, x, EPS, FPMIN, EULER
PARAMETER (MAXIT=100,EPS=1.e-7,FPMIN=1.e-30,EULER=.5772156649)
Evaluates the exponential integral $E_{n}(x)$.
Parameters: MAXIT is the maximum allowed number of iterations; EPS is the desired relative error, not smaller than the machine precision; FPMIN is a number near the smallest representable floating-point number; EULER is Euler's constant $\gamma$.
INTEGER i,ii,nm1
REAL a,b,c,d,del,fact,h,psi
$\mathrm{nm} 1=\mathrm{n}-1$
if(n.lt.0.or.x.lt.0..or.(x.eq.0..and.(n.eq.0.or.n.eq.1))) then pause 'bad arguments in expint'
else if(n.eq.0)then Special case.
expint $=\exp (-x) / x$
else if(x.eq.0.)then Another special case.
expint=1./nm1
else if(x.gt.1.)then Lentz's algorithm (§5.2).
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{n}$
c=1./FPMIN
$\mathrm{d}=1 . / \mathrm{b}$
h=d
do ${ }_{11} \mathrm{i}=1$, MAXIT
$a=-i *(n m 1+i)$
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}+2$.
$\mathrm{d}=1 . /(\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{b}) \quad$ Denominators cannot be zero.
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{c}$
del=c*d
$\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h} *$ del
if (abs (del-1.).lt.EPS) then
expint $=h * \exp (-x)$
return
endif
enddo ${ }_{11}$
pause 'continued fraction failed in expint'
else Evaluate series
if (nm1.ne.0) then Set first term.
expint=1./nm1
else
expint $=-\log (x)$-EULER
endif
fact $=1$.
do 13 i=1,MAXIT
fact=-fact*x/i
if(i.ne.nm1)then
del=-fact/(i-nm1)
else psi=-EULER Compute $\psi(n)$. do $12 \mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{~nm} 1$
psi=psi+1./ii enddo 12 del=fact*(-log(x)+psi)
endif
expint=expint+del
if(abs(del).lt.abs(expint)*EPS) return
enddo ${ }_{13}$
pause 'series failed in expint'
endif
return
END

A good algorithm for evaluating Ei is to use the power series for small $x$ and the asymptotic series for large $x$. The power series is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ei}(x)=\gamma+\ln x+\frac{x}{1 \cdot 1!}+\frac{x^{2}}{2 \cdot 2!}+\cdots \tag{6.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant. The asymptotic expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ei}(x) \sim \frac{e^{x}}{x}\left(1+\frac{1!}{x}+\frac{2!}{x^{2}}+\cdots\right) \tag{6.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower limit for the use of the asymptotic expansion is approximately $|\ln \mathrm{EPS}|$, where EPS is the required relative error.

FUNCTION ei (x)
INTEGER MAXIT
REAL ei, $x, E P S, E U L E R$, FPMIN
PARAMETER (EPS=6.e-8, EULER=. 57721566, MAXIT $=100$, FPMIN=1.e-30)
Computes the exponential integral $\operatorname{Ei}(x)$ for $x>0$.
Parameters: EPS is the relative error, or absolute error near the zero of Ei at $x=0.3725$; EULER is Euler's constant $\gamma$; MAXIT is the maximum number of iterations allowed; FPMIN is a number near the smallest representable floating-point number.
INTEGER k
REAL fact, prev, sum,term
if(x.le.0.) pause 'bad argument in ei'
if (x.lt.FPMIN) then
ei $=\log (x)+E U L E R$
Special case: avoid failure of convergence test be-
cause of underflow.
lse if (x.le.-log(EPS))then Use power series.
sum=0.
fact=1.
do $11 \mathrm{k}=1$, MAXIT
fact=fact*x/k
term=fact/k
sum=sum+term
if(term.lt.EPS*sum) goto 1
enddo 11
pause 'series failed in ei'
ei=sum+log $(x)+$ EULER
else sum=0.
term=1.
do $12 \mathrm{k}=1$, MAXIT
prev=term
term=term*k/x
if (term.lt.EPS)goto 2
if(term.lt.prev)then
sum=sum+term

Since final sum is greater than one, term itself approximates the relative error.
Still converging: add new term.
else
sum=sum-prev Diverging: subtract previous term and exit. goto 2
endif
enddo 12

2
ei $=\exp (x) *(1 .+$ sum $) / x$
endif
return
END
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### 6.4 Incomplete Beta Function, Student's Distribution, F-Distribution, Cumulative Binomial Distribution

The incomplete beta function is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{x}(a, b) \equiv \frac{B_{x}(a, b)}{B(a, b)} \equiv \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \int_{0}^{x} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} d t \quad(a, b>0) \tag{6.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the limiting values

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(a, b)=0 \quad I_{1}(a, b)=1 \tag{6.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the symmetry relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{x}(a, b)=1-I_{1-x}(b, a) \tag{6.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a$ and $b$ are both rather greater than one, then $I_{x}(a, b)$ rises from "near-zero" to "near-unity" quite sharply at about $x=a /(a+b)$. Figure 6.4 .1 plots the function for several pairs $(a, b)$.

The incomplete beta function has a series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{x}(a, b)=\frac{x^{a}(1-x)^{b}}{a B(a, b)}\left[1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(a+1, n+1)}{B(a+b, n+1)} x^{n+1}\right] \tag{6.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this does not prove to be very useful in its numerical evaluation. (Note, however, that the beta functions in the coefficients can be evaluated for each value of $n$ with just the previous value and a few multiplies, using equations 6.1.9 and 6.1.3.)

The continued fraction representation proves to be much more useful,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{x}(a, b)=\frac{x^{a}(1-x)^{b}}{a B(a, b)}\left[\frac{1}{1+} \frac{d_{1}}{1+} \frac{d_{2}}{1+} \cdots\right] \tag{6.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

