```
    enddo }1
    x(i)=sum/p(i)
enddo }1
do 14 i=n,1,-1 Solve L}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{L}}{}{T}\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y
    sum=x(i)
    do 13 k=i+1,n
        sum=sum-a(k,i)*x(k)
    enddo }1
    x(i)=sum/p(i)
enddo }1
return
END
```

A typical use of choldc and cholsl is in the inversion of covariance matrices describing the fit of data to a model; see, e.g., $\S 15.6$. In this, and many other applications, one often needs $\mathbf{L}^{-1}$. The lower triangle of this matrix can be efficiently found from the output of choldc:

```
do 13 i=1,n
    a(i,i)=1./p(i)
    do 12 j=i+1,n
            sum=0.
            do 11 k=i,j-1
                sum=sum-a(j,k)*a(k,i)
            enddo 11
        a(j,i)=sum/p(j)
    enddo }1
enddo }1
```
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### 2.10 QR Decomposition

There is another matrix factorization that is sometimes very useful, the so-called $Q R$ decomposition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R} \tag{2.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Like the other matrix factorizations we have met ( $L U$, SVD, Cholesky), $Q R$ decomposition can be used to solve systems of linear equations. To solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \tag{2.10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

first form $\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ and then solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b} \tag{2.10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by backsubstitution. Since $Q R$ decomposition involves about twice as many operations as $L U$ decomposition, it is not used for typical systems of linear equations. However, we will meet special cases where $Q R$ is the method of choice.

The standard algorithm for the $Q R$ decomposition involves successive Householder transformations (to be discussed later in §11.2). We write a Householder matrix in the form $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} / c$ where $c=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}$. An appropriate Householder matrix applied to a given matrix can zero all elements in a column of the matrix situated below a chosen element. Thus we arrange for the first Householder matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{1}$ to zero all elements in the first column of $\mathbf{A}$ below the first element. Similarly $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ zeroes all elements in the second column below the second element, and so on up to $\mathbf{Q}_{n-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Q}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{A} \tag{2.10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Householder matrices are orthogonal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q}=\left(\mathbf{Q}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{1}\right)^{-1}=\mathbf{Q}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{n-1} \tag{2.10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In most applications we don't need to form $\mathbf{Q}$ explicitly; we instead store it in the factored form (2.10.6). Pivoting is not usually necessary unless the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is very close to singular. A general $Q R$ algorithm for rectangular matrices including pivoting is given in [1]. For square matrices, an implementation is the following:

```
SUBROUTINE qrdcmp(a,n,np,c,d,sing)
INTEGER n,np
REAL a(np,np),c(n),d(n)
LOGICAL sing
    Constructs the QR decomposition of a (1:n,1:n), with physical dimension np. The upper
    triangular matrix }\mathbf{R}\mathrm{ is returned in the upper triangle of a, except for the diagonal elements
    of \mathbf{R}\mathrm{ which are returned in d(1:n). The orthogonal matrix Q is represented as a product of}
    n-1 Householder matrices }\mp@subsup{\mathbf{Q}}{1}{}\ldots\mp@subsup{\mathbf{Q}}{n-1}{}\mathrm{ , where }\mp@subsup{\mathbf{Q}}{j}{}=\mathbf{1}-\mp@subsup{\mathbf{u}}{j}{}\otimes\mp@subsup{\mathbf{u}}{j}{}/\mp@subsup{c}{j}{}\mathrm{ . The }i\mathrm{ th component
    of \mp@subsup{\mathbf{u}}{j}{}\mathrm{ is zero for i=1,_.,j-1 while the nonzero components are returned in a(i,j) for}
    i=j,\ldots,n. sing returns as true if singularity is encountered during the decomposition,
    but the decomposition is still completed in this case.
INTEGER i,j,k
REAL scale,sigma,sum,tau
sing=.false.
do }17\textrm{k}=1,\textrm{n}-
    scale=0.
    do 11 i=k,n
        scale=max(scale,abs(a(i,k)))
    enddo }1
    if(scale.eq.0.)then Singular case.
        sing=.true.
        c(k)=0.
        d(k)=0.
    else
        do 12 i=k,n
            a(i,k)=a(i,k)/scale
        enddo }1
        sum=0.
        do 13 i=k,n
            sum=sum+a(i,k)**2
        enddo }1
        sigma=sign(sqrt(sum),a(k,k))
        a(k,k)=a(k,k)+sigma
```

```
        c(k)=sigma*a(k,k)
        d(k)=-scale*sigma
        do 16 j=k+1,n
            sum=0.
            do 14 i=k,n
                sum=sum+a(i,k)*a(i,j)
            enddo }1
            tau=sum/c(k)
            do 15 i=k,n
                    a(i,j)=a(i,j)-tau*a(i,k)
            enddo 15
        enddo }1
    endif
enddo }1
d(n)=a(n,n)
if(d(n).eq.0.)sing=.true.
return
END
```

The next routine, qrsolv, is used to solve linear systems. In many applications only the part (2.10.4) of the algorithm is needed, so we separate it off into its own routine rsolv.

SUBROUTINE qrsolv(a,n, np, c, d, b)
INTEGER $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}$
REAL $a(n p, n p), b(n), c(n), d(n)$
USES rsolv
Solves the set of $n$ linear equations $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $a$ is a matrix with physical dimension $n p$.
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$, and d are input as the output of the routine qrdcmp and are not modified. $\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{n})$ is input as the right-hand side vector, and is overwritten with the solution vector on output.
INTEGER $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$
REAL sum,tau
do $13 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{n}-1 \quad$ Form $\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}$.
sum=0.
do $11 i=j, n$
sum=sum+a(i,j)*b(i)
enddo 11
tau=sum/c(j)
do $12 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{n}$

$$
b(i)=b(i)-t a u * a(i, j)
$$

enddo 12
enddo 13
call $\operatorname{rsolv}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}) \quad$ Solve $\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{b}$.
return
END

SUBROUTINE rsolv(a,n,np,d,b)
INTEGER n, np
REAL a(np,np), b(n),d(n)
Solves the set of $n$ linear equations $\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is an upper triangular matrix stored in a and d . a and d are input as the output of the routine qrdcmp and are not modified. $\mathrm{b}(1: \mathrm{n})$ is input as the right-hand side vector, and is overwritten with the solution vector on output.
INTEGER i,j
REAL sum
$\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{n})$
do $12 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-1,1,-1$
sum=0.
do $11 \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1$, n
sum=sum+a(i,j)*b(j)
enddo 11
$b(i)=(b(i)-s u m) / d(i)$
enddo 12
return
END

See [2] for details on how to use $Q R$ decomposition for constructing orthogonal bases, and for solving least-squares problems. (We prefer to use SVD, $\S 2.6$, for these purposes, because of its greater diagnostic capability in pathological cases.)

## Updating a QR decomposition

Some numerical algorithms involve solving a succession of linear systems each of which differs only slightly from its predecessor. Instead of doing $O\left(N^{3}\right)$ operations each time to solve the equations from scratch, one can often update a matrix factorization in $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ operations and use the new factorization to solve the next set of linear equations. The $L U$ decomposition is complicated to update because of pivoting. However, $Q R$ turns out to be quite simple for a very common kind of update,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{t} \tag{2.10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(compare equation 2.7.1). In practice it is more convenient to work with the equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{A}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Q}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{\prime}=\mathbf{Q} \cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \tag{2.10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can go back and forth between equations (2.10.7) and (2.10.8) using the fact that $\mathbf{Q}$ is orthogonal, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{v} \text { and either } \mathbf{s}=\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{u} \text { or } \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{s} \tag{2.10.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algorithm [2] has two phases. In the first we apply $N-1$ Jacobi rotations (§11.1) to reduce $\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}$ to upper Hessenberg form. Another $N-1$ Jacobi rotations transform this upper Hessenberg matrix to the new upper triangular matrix $\mathbf{R}^{\prime}$. The matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$ is simply the product of $\mathbf{Q}$ with the $2(N-1)$ Jacobi rotations. In applications we usually want $\mathbf{Q}^{T}$, and the algorithm can easily be rearranged to work with this matrix instead of with $\mathbf{Q}$.

```
SUBROUTINE qrupdt( \(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{qt}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}\) )
INTEGER \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}\)
REAL \(r(n p, n p), q t(n p, n p), u(n p), v(n p)\)
C USES rotate
    Given the \(Q R\) decomposition of some \(\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}\) matrix, calculates the \(Q R\) decomposition of
    the matrix \(\mathbf{Q} \cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})\). The matrices r and qt have physical dimension np . Note that
    \(\mathbf{Q}^{T}\) is input and returned in qt.
INTEGER i, j,k
do \(11 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}, 1,-1 \quad\) Find largest k such that \(\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{k}) \neq 0\).
    if(u(k).ne.0.)goto 1
enddo \({ }_{11}\)
\(\mathrm{k}=1\)
1 do \(12 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}-1,1,-1\)
    call rotate( \(r, q t, n, n p, i, u(i),-u(i+1))\)
    Transform \(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}\) to upper Hes-
    senberg.
    if (u(i).eq.0.) then
        \(u(i)=a b s(u(i+1))\)
    else if(abs(u(i)).gt.abs(u(i+1)))then
        \(u(i)=\operatorname{abs}(u(i)) * \operatorname{sqrt}(1 .+(u(i+1) / u(i)) * * 2)\)
    else
        \(u(i)=a b s(u(i+1)) * \operatorname{sqrt}(1 .+(u(i) / u(i+1)) * * 2)\)
    endif
enddo 12
do \(13 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{n}\)
    \(r(1, j)=r(1, j)+u(1) * v(j)\)
enddo 13
do \(14 \mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{k}-1 \quad\) Transform upper Hessenberg matrix
    call \(\operatorname{rotate}(r, q t, n, n p, i, r(i, i),-r(i+1, i)) \quad\) to upper triangular.
```

enddo 14

```
return
```

END

SUBROUTINE rotate ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{qt}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )
INTEGER $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{np}, \mathrm{i}$
REAL $a, b, r(n p, n p), q t(n p, n p)$
Given $n \times n$ matrices $r$ and qt of physical dimension $n p$, carry out a Jacobi rotation on rows i and $\mathrm{i}+1$ of each matrix. a and b are the parameters of the rotation: $\cos \theta=a / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$,
$\sin \theta=b / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$.
INTEGER j
REAL c,fact,s,w,y
if (a.eq.0.) then Avoid unnecessary overflow or underflow.
$\mathrm{c}=0$.
s=sign(1.,b)
else if(abs(a).gt.abs(b))then
fact=b/a
$\mathrm{c}=\operatorname{sign}(1 . / \operatorname{sqrt}(1 .+\mathrm{fact} * * 2)$, a)
$s=f a c t * c$
else
fact=a/b
s=sign(1./sqrt(1.+fact**2),b)
$c=f a c t * s$
endif
do $11 \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{n} \quad$ Premultiply r by Jacobi rotation.
$y=r(i, j)$
$w=r(i+1, j)$
$r(i, j)=c * y-s * w$
$r(i+1, j)=s * y+c * w$
enddo 11
do $12 \mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{n} \quad$ Premultiply qt by Jacobi rotation.
$y=q t(i, j)$
w=qt (i+1,j)
qt (i,j) $=\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{s} * \mathrm{w}$
$q t(i+1, j)=s * y+c * w$
enddo 12
return
END

We will make use of $Q R$ decomposition, and its updating, in §9.7.
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### 2.11 Is Matrix Inversion an $\mathbf{N}^{3}$ Process?

We close this chapter with a little entertainment, a bit of algorithmic prestidigitation which probes more deeply into the subject of matrix inversion. We start with a seemingly simple question:

