and then solved with ludcmp and lubksb in their present forms. This scheme is a factor of 2 inefficient in storage, since **A** and **C** are stored twice. It is also a factor of 2 inefficient in time, since the complex multiplies in a complexified version of the routines would each use 4 real multiplies, while the solution of a $2N \times 2N$ problem involves 8 times the work of an $N \times N$ one. If you can tolerate these factor-of-two inefficiencies, then equation (2.3.18) is an easy way to proceed.

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

- Golub, G.H., and Van Loan, C.F. 1989, *Matrix Computations*, 2nd ed. (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press), Chapter 4.
- Dongarra, J.J., et al. 1979, LINPACK User's Guide (Philadelphia: S.I.A.M.).
- Forsythe, G.E., Malcolm, M.A., and Moler, C.B. 1977, *Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), §3.3, and p. 50.
- Forsythe, G.E., and Moler, C.B. 1967, *Computer Solution of Linear Algebraic Systems* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), Chapters 9, 16, and 18.
- Westlake, J.R. 1968, A Handbook of Numerical Matrix Inversion and Solution of Linear Equations (New York: Wiley).
- Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 1980, Introduction to Numerical Analysis (New York: Springer-Verlag), $\S4.2$.
- Ralston, A., and Rabinowitz, P. 1978, *A First Course in Numerical Analysis*, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill), §9.11.

Horn, R.A., and Johnson, C.R. 1985, Matrix Analysis (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

2.4 Tridiagonal and Band Diagonal Systems of Equations

The special case of a system of linear equations that is *tridiagonal*, that is, has nonzero elements only on the diagonal plus or minus one column, is one that occurs frequently. Also common are systems that are *band diagonal*, with nonzero elements only along a few diagonal lines adjacent to the main diagonal (above and below).

For tridiagonal sets, the procedures of LU decomposition, forward- and backsubstitution each take only O(N) operations, and the whole solution can be encoded very concisely. The resulting routine tridag is one that we will use in later chapters.

Naturally, one does not reserve storage for the full $N \times N$ matrix, but only for the nonzero components, stored as three vectors. The set of equations to be solved is

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & \cdots & & & \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & \cdots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & a_{N-1} & b_{N-1} & c_{N-1} \\ & & & & & 0 & a_N & b_N \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \cdots \\ u_{N-1} \\ u_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \cdots \\ r_{N-1} \\ r_N \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.4.1)$$

Notice that a_1 and c_N are undefined and are not referenced by the routine that follows.

```
SUBROUTINE tridag(a,b,c,r,u,n)
INTEGER n,NMAX
REAL a(n), b(n), c(n), r(n), u(n)
PARAMETER (NMAX=500)
   Solves for a vector u(1:n) of length n the tridiagonal linear set given by equation (2.4.1).
   a(1:n), b(1:n), c(1:n), and r(1:n) are input vectors and are not modified.
   Parameter: NMAX is the maximum expected value of n.
INTEGER j
REAL bet,gam(NMAX)
                                              One vector of workspace, gam is needed.
if(b(1).eq.0.)pause 'tridag: rewrite equations'
  If this happens then you should rewrite your equations as a set of order N-1, with u_2
 trivially eliminated.
bet=b(1)
u(1)=r(1)/bet
                                              Decomposition and forward substitution.
do 11 j=2,n
    gam(j)=c(j-1)/bet
    bet=b(j)-a(j)*gam(j)
    if(bet.eq.0.)pause 'tridag failed'
                                              Algorithm fails; see below.
    u(j)=(r(j)-a(j)*u(j-1))/bet
enddo 11
                                              Backsubstitution.
do 12 j=n-1,1,-1
    u(j)=u(j)-gam(j+1)*u(j+1)
enddo 12
return
END
```

There is no pivoting in tridag. It is for this reason that tridag can fail (pause) even when the underlying matrix is nonsingular: A zero pivot can be encountered even for a nonsingular matrix. In practice, this is not something to lose sleep about. The kinds of problems that lead to tridiagonal linear sets usually have additional properties which guarantee that the algorithm in tridag will succeed. For example, if

$$|b_j| > |a_j| + |c_j|$$
 $j = 1, \dots, N$ (2.4.2)

(called *diagonal dominance*) then it can be shown that the algorithm cannot encounter a zero pivot.

It is possible to construct special examples in which the lack of pivoting in the algorithm causes numerical instability. In practice, however, such instability is almost never encountered — unlike the general matrix problem where pivoting is essential.

The tridiagonal algorithm is the rare case of an algorithm that, in practice, is more robust than theory says it should be. Of course, should you ever encounter a problem for which tridag fails, you can instead use the more general method for band diagonal systems, now described (routines bandec and banbks).

Some other matrix forms consisting of tridiagonal with a small number of additional elements (e.g., upper right and lower left corners) also allow rapid solution; see §2.7.

Band Diagonal Systems

Where tridiagonal systems have nonzero elements only on the diagonal plus or minus one, band diagonal systems are slightly more general and have $(say) m_1 \ge 0$ nonzero elements immediately to the left of (below) the diagonal and $m_2 \ge 0$ nonzero elements immediately to its right (above it). Of course, this is only a useful classification if m_1 and m_2 are both $\ll N$. In that case, the solution of the linear system by LU decomposition can be accomplished much faster, and in much less storage, than for the general $N \times N$ case.

The precise definition of a band diagonal matrix with elements a_{ij} is that

$$a_{ij} = 0$$
 when $j > i + m_2$ or $i > j + m_1$ (2.4.3)

Band diagonal matrices are stored and manipulated in a so-called compact form, which results if the matrix is tilted 45° clockwise, so that its nonzero elements lie in a long, narrow matrix with $m_1 + 1 + m_2$ columns and N rows. This is best illustrated by an example: The band diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 9 & 2 & 6 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & 9 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.4.4)

which has N = 7, $m_1 = 2$, and $m_2 = 1$, is stored compactly as the 7×4 matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & x & 3 & 1 \\ x & 4 & 1 & 5 \\ 9 & 2 & 6 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & x \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.4.5)

Here x denotes elements that are wasted space in the compact format; these will not be referenced by any manipulations and can have arbitrary values. Notice that the diagonal of the original matrix appears in column $m_1 + 1$, with subdiagonal elements to its left, superdiagonal elements to its right.

The simplest manipulation of a band diagonal matrix, stored compactly, is to multiply it by a vector to its right. Although this is algorithmically trivial, you might want to study the following routine carefully, as an example of how to pull nonzero elements a_{ij} out of the compact storage format in an orderly fashion. Notice that, as always, the logical and physical dimensions of a two-dimensional array can be different. Our convention is to pass N, m_1 , m_2 , and the *physical* dimensions $np \ge N$ and $mp \ge m_1 + 1 + m_2$.

```
SUBROUTINE banmul(a,n,m1,m2,np,mp,x,b)
```

```
INTEGER m1,m2,mp,n,np
```

```
REAL a(np,mp),b(n),x(n)
```

Matrix multiply $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}$, where \mathbf{A} is band diagonal with m1 rows below the diagonal and m2 rows above. The input vector \mathbf{x} and output vector \mathbf{b} are stored as $\mathbf{x}(1:n)$ and $\mathbf{b}(1:n)$, respectively. The array $\mathbf{a}(1:n,1:m1+m2+1)$ stores \mathbf{A} as follows: The diagonal elements are in $\mathbf{a}(1:n,m1+1)$. Subdiagonal elements are in $\mathbf{a}(j:n,1:m1)$ (with j > 1appropriate to the number of elements on each subdiagonal). Superdiagonal elements are in $\mathbf{a}(1:j,m1+2:m1+m2+1)$ with j < n appropriate to the number of elements on each superdiagonal.

```
INTEGER i,j,k
do 12 i=1,n
    b(i)=0.
    k=i-m1-1
    do 11 j=max(1,1-k),min(m1+m2+1,n-k)
        b(i)=b(i)+a(i,j)*x(j+k)
    enddo 11
enddo 12
return
END
```

It is not possible to store the LU decomposition of a band diagonal matrix **A** quite as compactly as the compact form of **A** itself. The decomposition (essentially by Crout's method, see §2.3) produces additional nonzero "fill-ins." One straightforward storage scheme is to return the upper triangular factor (U) in the same space that **A** previously occupied, and to return the lower triangular factor (L) in a separate compact matrix of size $N \times m_1$. The diagonal elements of U (whose product, times $d = \pm 1$, gives the determinant) are returned in the first column of **A**'s storage space.

The following routine, bandec, is the band-diagonal analog of ludcmp in §2.3:

```
SUBROUTINE bandec(a,n,m1,m2,np,mp,al,mpl,indx,d)
INTEGER m1,m2,mp,mpl,n,np,indx(n)
REAL d,a(np,mp),al(np,mpl),TINY
PARAMETER (TINY=1.e-20)
   Given an n \times n band diagonal matrix A with m1 subdiagonal rows and m2 superdiagonal
   rows, compactly stored in the array a(1:n, 1:m1+m2+1) as described in the comment for
   routine banmul, this routine constructs an LU decomposition of a rowwise permutation
   of A. The upper triangular matrix replaces a, while the lower triangular matrix is returned
   in al(1:n, 1:m1). indx(1:n) is an output vector which records the row permutation
   effected by the partial pivoting; d is output as \pm 1 depending on whether the number of
   row interchanges was even or odd, respectively. This routine is used in combination with
   banbks to solve band-diagonal sets of equations.
INTEGER i, j, k, l, mm
REAL dum
mm=m1+m2+1
if(mm.gt.mp.or.m1.gt.mpl.or.n.gt.np) pause 'bad args in bandec'
l=m1
do 13 i=1,m1
                               Rearrange the storage a bit.
    do 11 j=m1+2-i,mm
        a(i,j-1)=a(i,j)
    enddo 11
    1=1-1
    do 12 j=mm-l,mm
        a(i,j)=0.
    enddo 12
enddo 13
d=1.
l=m1
do 18 k=1,n
                               For each row...
    dum=a(k,1)
    i=k
    if(l.lt.n)l=l+1
    do 14 j=k+1,1
                               Find the pivot element.
        if(abs(a(j,1)).gt.abs(dum))then
            dum=a(j,1)
            i=j
        endif
    enddo 14
    indx(k)=i
    if(dum.eq.0.) a(k,1)=TINY
      Matrix is algorithmically singular, but proceed anyway with TINY pivot (desirable in some
      applications).
    if(i.ne.k)then
                               Interchange rows.
        d=-d
        do 15 j=1,mm
            dum=a(k,j)
            a(k,j)=a(i,j)
            a(i,j)=dum
        enddo 15
    endif
    do 17 i=k+1,1
                               Do the elimination.
        dum=a(i,1)/a(k,1)
        al(k,i-k)=dum
        do 16 j=2,mm
```

```
a(i,j-1)=a(i,j)-dum*a(k,j)
enddo 16
a(i,mm)=0.
enddo 17
enddo 18
return
END
```

Some pivoting is possible within the storage limitations of bandec, and the above routine does take advantage of the opportunity. In general, when TINY is returned as a diagonal element of U, then the original matrix (perhaps as modified by roundoff error) is in fact singular. In this regard, bandec is somewhat more robust than tridag above, which can fail algorithmically even for nonsingular matrices; bandec is thus also useful (with $m_1 = m_2 = 1$) for some ill-behaved tridiagonal systems.

Once the matrix A has been decomposed, any number of right-hand sides can be solved in turn by repeated calls to banbks, the backsubstitution routine whose analog in §2.3 is lubksb.

```
SUBROUTINE banbks(a,n,m1,m2,np,mp,al,mpl,indx,b)
INTEGER m1,m2,mp,mpl,n,np,indx(n)
REAL a(np,mp),al(np,mpl),b(n)
   Given the arrays a, al, and indx as returned from bandec, and given a right-hand side
   vector b(1:n), solves the band diagonal linear equations A \cdot x = b. The solution vector x
   overwrites b(1:n). The other input arrays are not modified, and can be left in place for
   successive calls with different right-hand sides.
INTEGER i,k,l,mm
REAL dum
mm=m1+m2+1
if(mm.gt.mp.or.m1.gt.mpl.or.n.gt.np) pause 'bad args in banbks'
1=m1
do 12 k=1,n
                               Forward substitution, unscrambling the permuted rows as we
    i=indx(k)
                                  go.
    if(i.ne.k)then
        dum=b(k)
        b(k)=b(i)
        b(i)=dum
    endif
    if(l.lt.n)l=l+1
    do 11 i=k+1,1
        b(i)=b(i)-al(k,i-k)*b(k)
    enddo 11
enddo 12
1=1
do 14 i=n,1,-1
                               Backsubstitution.
    dum=b(i)
    do 13 k=2,1
        dum=dum-a(i,k)*b(k+i-1)
    enddo 13
    b(i)=dum/a(i,1)
    if(l.lt.mm) l=l+1
enddo 14
return
END
```

The routines bandec and banbks are based on the Handbook routines *bandet1* and *bansol1* in [1].

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Keller, H.B. 1968, Numerical Methods for Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems (Waltham, MA: Blaisdell), p. 74.

- Dahlquist, G., and Bjorck, A. 1974, *Numerical Methods* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), Example 5.4.3, p. 166.
- Ralston, A., and Rabinowitz, P. 1978, *A First Course in Numerical Analysis*, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill), §9.11.
- Wilkinson, J.H., and Reinsch, C. 1971, *Linear Algebra*, vol. II of *Handbook for Automatic Computation* (New York: Springer-Verlag), Chapter I/6. [1]
- Golub, G.H., and Van Loan, C.F. 1989, *Matrix Computations*, 2nd ed. (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press), §4.3.

2.5 Iterative Improvement of a Solution to Linear Equations

Obviously it is not easy to obtain greater precision for the solution of a linear set than the precision of your computer's floating-point word. Unfortunately, for large sets of linear equations, it is not always easy to obtain precision equal to, or even comparable to, the computer's limit. In direct methods of solution, roundoff errors accumulate, and they are magnified to the extent that your matrix is close to singular. You can easily lose two or three significant figures for matrices which (you thought) were *far* from singular.

If this happens to you, there is a neat trick to restore the full machine precision, called *iterative improvement* of the solution. The theory is very straightforward (see Figure 2.5.1): Suppose that a vector \mathbf{x} is the exact solution of the linear set

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \tag{2.5.1}$$

You don't, however, know **x**. You only know some slightly wrong solution $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$, where $\delta \mathbf{x}$ is the unknown error. When multiplied by the matrix **A**, your slightly wrong solution gives a product slightly discrepant from the desired right-hand side **b**, namely

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b} \tag{2.5.2}$$

Subtracting (2.5.1) from (2.5.2) gives

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} = \delta \mathbf{b} \tag{2.5.3}$$

But (2.5.2) can also be solved, trivially, for $\delta \mathbf{b}$. Substituting this into (2.5.3) gives

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}$$
(2.5.4)

In this equation, the whole right-hand side is known, since $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$ is the wrong solution that you want to improve. It is essential to calculate the right-hand side in double precision, since there will be a lot of cancellation in the subtraction of **b**. Then, we need only solve (2.5.4) for the error $\delta \mathbf{x}$, then subtract this from the wrong solution to get an improved solution.

An important extra benefit occurs if we obtained the original solution by LU decomposition. In this case we already have the LU decomposed form of **A**, and all we need do to solve (2.5.4) is compute the right-hand side and backsubstitute!

The code to do all this is concise and straightforward:

Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to trade@cup.cam.ac.uk (outside North America). Sample page from NUMERICAL Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Can RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521 -43064-X